

Data supplement

This file contains further details of methods and results to accompany the paper *Interventions to promote walking: systematic review* by David Ogilvie, Charles Foster, Helen Rothnie, Nick Cavill, Val Hamilton, Claire Fitzsimons and Nanette Mutrie on behalf of the Scottish Physical Activity Research Collaboration (SPARColl) published on bmj.com.

REFERENCES TO PRIMARY STUDIES INCLUDED IN THE REVIEW

Purath

w1. Purath J, Miller A, McCabe G, Wilbur J. A brief intervention to increase physical activity in sedentary working women. *Can J Nurs Res* 2004;36:76-91.

Calfas

w2. Calfas K, Long B, Sallis J, Wooten W, Pratt M, Patrick K. A controlled trial of physician counseling to promote the adoption of physical activity. *Prev Med* 1996;25:225-233.

Kerse

w3. Kerse N, Flicker L, Jolley D, Arroll B, Young D. Improving the health behaviours of elderly people: randomised controlled trial of a general practice education programme. *BMJ* 1999;319:683-687.

Halbert A

w4. Halbert J, Silagy C, Finucane P, Withers R, Hamdorf P. Physical activity and cardiovascular risk factors: effect of advice from an exercise specialist in Australian general practice. *Med J Aust* 2000;173:84-87.

Halbert B

w5. Halbert J, Crotty M, Weller D, Ahern M, Silagy C. Primary care-based physical activity programs: effectiveness in sedentary older patients with osteoarthritis symptoms. *Arthritis Care Res* 2001;45:228-234.

Norris

w6. Norris S, Grothaus L, Buchner D, Pratt M. Effectiveness of physician-based assessment and counseling for exercise in a staff model HMO. *Prev Med* 2000;30:513-523.

Napolitano

w7. Napolitano M, Fotheringham M, Tate D, Sciamanna C, Leslie E, Owen N, et al. Evaluation of an internet-based physical activity intervention: a preliminary investigation. *Ann Behav Med* 2003;25:92-99.

Jarvis

w8. Jarvis K, Friedman R, Heeren T, Cullinane P. Older women and physical activity: using the telephone to walk. *Womens Health Issues* 1997;7:24-29.

Nies

w9. Nies M, Chruscial H, Hepworth J. An intervention to promote walking in sedentary women in the community. *Am J Health Behav* 2003;27:524-535.

Coull

w10. Coull A, Taylor V, Elton R, Murdoch P, Hargreaves A. A randomised controlled trial of senior lay health mentoring in older people with ischaemic heart disease: the Braveheart project. *Age Ageing* 2004;33:348-354.

Fisher

w11. Fisher K, Li F. A community-based walking trial to improve neighborhood quality of life in older adults: a multilevel analysis. *Ann Behav Med* 2004;28:186-194.

Pereira

w12. Pereira M, Kriska A, Day R, Cauley J, LaPorte R, Kuller L. A randomized walking trial in postmenopausal women: effects on physical activity and health 10 years later. *Arch Int Med* 1998;158:1695-1701.

w13. Kriska A, Bayles C, Cauley J, LaPorte R, Sandler R, Pambianco G. A randomized exercise trial in older women: increased activity over two years and the factors associated with compliance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1986;18:557-562.

Ferreira

w14. Ferreira M, Matsudo S, Matsudo V, Braggion G. Effects of an intervention program of physical activity and nutrition orientation on the physical activity level of physically active women aged 50 to 72 years old. *Rev Bras Med Esporte* 2005;11:166e-169e.

Michalowski

w15. Michalowski J. *The effects of a stage-matched intervention on physical activity and coronary heart disease risk factors in women* [MS thesis]. West Lafayette, Indiana: Purdue University, 1999.

De Kraker

w16. De Kraker H, Hendriksen I, Hildebrandt V, De Korte E, Van der Maas E. Het effect van een lunchwandelingcampagne op het beweeggedrag van werknemers [The effect of a campaign to stimulate walking during lunch break on the physical activity behaviour of employees]. *Geneeskunde en Sport* 2005;38:172-178.

Schofield

w17. Schofield L, Mummery K, Schofield G. Effects of a controlled pedometer-intervention trial for low-active adolescent girls. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005;37:1414-1420.

Merom

w18. Merom D, Rissel C, Phongsavan P, Smith B, Van Kemende C, Brown W, et al. Promoting walking with pedometers in the community: the Step by Step trial. *Am J Prev Med* 2007;32:290-297.

* Updated citation received after initial data extraction and quality assessment carried out using an earlier manuscript: Merom D. The 'Step by Step': a self-help mailed walking program with or without pedometer — a community based RCT [unpublished manuscript].

Barilotti

w19. Barilotti L. *Motivational impact of pedometers on self-report of physical activity and stage of change* [MS thesis]. Washington DC: American University, 2001.

w20. Barilotti L, Simon M, Hoecke H, Schaeffer M, Snelling A. Motivational impact of pedometers on self-report of physical activity and stage of change [abstract]. *Am J Health Promot* 2002;16:365.

Croteau

w21. Croteau K, Richeson N, Vines S, Jones D. Effects of a pedometer-based physical activity program on older adults' mobility-related self-efficacy and physical performance. *Activities Adaptation Aging* 2004;28:19-33.

Talbot

w22. Talbot L, Gaines J, Huynh T, Metter E. A home-based pedometer-driven walking program to increase physical activity in older adults with osteoarthritis of the knee: a preliminary study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003;51:387-392.

Tudor-Locke

w23. Tudor-Locke C, Bell R, Myers A, Harris S, Ecclestone N, Lauzon N, et al. Controlled outcome evaluation of the First Step Program: a daily physical activity intervention for individuals with type II diabetes. *Int J Obesity* 2004;28:113-9.

Baker

w24. Baker G, Mutrie N, Lowry R. Promoting walking levels using a pedometer and email prompts: a 12 month study. Unpublished manuscript.

Brownson (Bootheel)

w25. Brownson R, Baker E, Boyd R, Caito N, Duggan K, Housemann R, et al. A community-based approach to promoting walking in rural areas. *Am J Prev Med* 2004;27:28-34.

Brownson (Ozarks)

w26. Brownson R, Hagood L, Lovegreen S, Britton B, Caito N, Elliott M, et al. A multilevel ecological approach to promoting walking in rural communities. *Prev Med* 2005;41:837-842.

Reger-Nash (Wheeling)

w27. Reger-Nash B, Bauman A, Booth-Butterfield S, Cooper L, Smith H, Chey T, et al. Wheeling Walks: evaluation of a media-based community intervention. *Fam Community Health* 2005;28:64-78.

w28. Reger B, Cooper L, Booth-Butterfield S, Smith H, Bauman A, Wootan M, et al. Wheeling Walks: a community campaign using paid media to encourage walking among sedentary older adults. *Prev Med* 2002;35:285-92.

NSW Health

w29. *Walk it: active local parks. The effect of park modifications and promotion on physical activity participation: summary report.* Sydney: New South Wales Department of Health, 2002.

Reger-Nash (Welch)

w30. Reger-Nash B, Cooper L, Orren J, Cook D. Marketing used to promote walking in McDowell County. *W V Med J* 2005;101:106.

Mutrie

w31. Mutrie N, Carney C, Blamey A, Crawford F, Aitchison T, Whitelaw A. "Walk in to Work Out": a randomised controlled trial of a self help intervention to promote active commuting. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2002;56:407-412.

Marinelli

w32. Marinelli P, Roth M. Travelsmart suburbs Brisbane — a successful pilot of a voluntary travel behaviour change technique. 25th Australasian Transport Research Forum, Canberra, 2002.

Socialdata (Perth pilot)

w33. Socialdata Australia. *Results of individualised marketing pilot.* Perth: Department for Planning and Infrastructure, Government of Western Australia, 1998.

w34. Socialdata Australia. *Community survey and marketing campaign for the South Perth TravelSmart project: report of the second evaluation.* Perth: Department for Planning and Infrastructure, Government of Western Australia, 1999.

w35. Socialdata Australia. *Community survey and marketing campaign for the South Perth TravelSmart project: third evaluation report.* Perth: Department for Planning and Infrastructure, Government of Western Australia, 2000.

w36. John G. The effectiveness of the TravelSmart individualised marketing program for increasing walking trips in Perth. 'Australia: Walking the 21st Century' conference, Perth, 2001.

Socialdata (Perth)

w37. Department for Planning and Infrastructure. *South Perth large scale evaluation report.* Perth: Government of Western Australia, 2001.

w38. Socialdata Australia. *Travel behaviour change program for the city of South Perth under the TravelSmart program: technical appendix.* Perth: Department for Planning and Infrastructure, Government of Western Australia, 2003.

w39. James B, Brög W. Increasing walking trips through TravelSmart Individualised Marketing. *World Transport Policy Practice* 2001;7:61-6.

w40. Ker I. *Preliminary evaluation of the financial impacts and outcomes of the TravelSmart individualised marketing program — update.* Perth: Department for Planning and Infrastructure, Government of Western Australia, 2002.

Sustrans (Frome)

w41. *TravelSmart Frome pilot project*. Bristol: Sustrans, 2002.

Sustrans (Gloucester pilot)

w42. *TravelSmart Gloucester pilot project*. Bristol: Sustrans, 2002.

Socialdata (Melville)

w43. *Travel behaviour change program for parts of the Perth Metropolitan Area under the TravelSmart program 2001 to 2005. Final report: TravelSmart® households program, city of Melville*. Fremantle: Socialdata Australia, 2004.

Sustrans (Bishopston)

w44. *Bishopston TravelSmart: a report on the individualised marketing project funded through the Department for Transport's personalised travel planning demonstration programme*. Bristol: Sustrans, 2004.

Sustrans (Cramlington)

w45. *Cramlington TravelSmart: a report on the individualised marketing project funded through the Department for Transport's personalised travel planning demonstration programme*. Bristol: Sustrans, 2004.

Sustrans (Gloucester)

w46. *TravelSmart Gloucester (Quedgeley) 2003-04: a report on the individualised marketing project funded through the Department for Transport's personalised travel planning demonstration programme*. Bristol: Sustrans, 2004.

Sustrans (Nottingham)

w47. *Greater Nottingham TravelSmart (Lady Bay and the Meadows): a report on the individualised marketing project funded through the Department for Transport's personalised travel planning demonstration programme*. Bristol: Sustrans, 2004.

Sustrans (Sheffield)

w48. *TravelSmart Sheffield (Hillsborough/Middlewood) 2003-04: a report on the individualised marketing project funded through the Department for Transport's personalised travel planning demonstration programme*. Bristol: Sustrans, 2004.

Haq

w49. Haq G, Whitelegg J, Cinderby S, Johnson D. *Intelligent travel: personalised travel planning in the city of York*. Stockholm: Stockholm Environment Institute, 2004.

TAPESTRY (Viernheim)

w50. Travel awareness, publicity and education supporting a sustainable transport strategy in Europe (TAPESTRY). Viernheim household transport: Germany. <http://www.eu-tapestry.org> (accessed 27 March 2006).

McKee

w51. McKee R, Mutrie N, Crawford F, Green B. Promoting walking to school: results of a quasi-experimental trial. *J Epidemiol Community Health*, in press.*

Rowland

w52. Rowland D, DiGiuseppi C, Gross M, Afolabi E, Roberts I. Randomised controlled trial of site specific advice on school travel patterns. *Arch Dis Child* 2003;88:8-11.

TAPESTRY (Hertfordshire)

w53. Travel awareness, publicity and education supporting a sustainable transport strategy in Europe (TAPESTRY). Targeting the environmentally aware: United Kingdom. <http://www.eu-tapestry.org> (accessed 27 March 2006).

Shoup

w54. Shoup D. Evaluating the effects of cashing out employer-paid parking: eight case studies. *Transport Policy* 1997;4:201-216.

w55. Shoup D. *Evaluating the effects of parking cash out: eight case studies*. Sacramento: Air Resources Board Research Division, California Environmental Protection Agency, 1997.

Troelsen

w56. Troelsen J, Jensen S, Andersen T. *Evaluering af Odense — Danmarks nationale cykelby* [Evaluation of Odense - Denmark's national cycle city]. Odense: Odense Kommune, 2004.

Hodgson

w57. Hodgson F, May T, Tight M, Conner M. Evaluation of the MIST travel awareness campaign: 2. The before-and-after study. *Traffic Eng Control* 1998;39:103-112.

Cervero

w58. Cervero R, Creedman N, Pohan M, Pai M. *City CarShare: assessment of short-term travel-behavior impacts*. Berkeley: Institute of Urban and Regional Development, University of California at Berkeley, 2002.

w59. Cervero R, Creedman N, Pohan M, Pai M, Tsai Y-H. *City CarShare: assessment of intermediate-term travel-behavior impacts*. Berkeley: Institute of Urban and Regional Development, University of California at Berkeley, 2002.

w60. Cervero R. *City CarShare: first-year travel demand impacts*. Berkeley: Institute of Urban and Regional Development, University of California at Berkeley, 2002.

* Updated citation received after initial data extraction and quality assessment carried out using an earlier manuscript: McKee R. *Can children be encouraged to walk as part of their journey to school?* [MPhil thesis]. Glasgow: University of Strathclyde, 2004.

ADDITIONAL METHODOLOGICAL REFERENCES

w61. Department for Transport. *Transport Statistics Bulletin. National Travel Survey: 2005*. London: National Statistics, 2006.

w62. 10,000 Steps. Frequently asked questions.
<http://10000steps.org.au/?page=lifestyles/faqs> (accessed 5 February 2007).

EXPANDED METHODS

Search strategy

Our search for evidence consisted of:

1. Searching electronic literature databases and websites
2. Screening reports of systematic reviews on related topics
3. Snowballing from reference lists of included studies
4. Contacting experts to identify additional 'grey' and unpublished literature.

Searching electronic literature databases and websites — In August 2005 we searched 25 electronic literature databases (Box A) using search terms designed to detect studies of interventions or changes related to walking and refined on the basis of pilot searches (Box B). We applied the following search limits: publication date 1990-present (or nearest equivalent, depending on database), human (in databases where this limit could be set), and developed countries (in databases where this limit could be set). We specified no limits on type of participant, type of study design, type of intervention, or language of publication. We also searched a purposive sample of websites (Box C) chosen for their likelihood to contribute additional 'grey' or unpublished literature, particularly in the transport field.

Screening related systematic reviews — We screened the reports of recent systematic reviews and evidence briefings on related topics known to the authors to identify additional primary studies to be considered for inclusion.

Snowballing from reference lists — We screened the reference lists of papers included in the review to identify additional primary studies to be considered for inclusion.

Contacting experts — In March 2006 we invited an international group of experts to comment on an interim list of included studies and to nominate additional primary studies (particularly unpublished or recently-published studies) to be considered for inclusion.

Box A**Electronic databases searched**

Ageline
ASSIA
British Nursing Index
Cochrane Controlled Trials Register (CENTRAL)
CINAHL
Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews
NHS Economic Evaluation Database
Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE)
EMBASE
EPPI-Centre
ERIC
Geobase
Health Evidence Bulletins Wales
IUHPE
Medline
NCCHTA
NICE
PAIS
PLANEX (IDOX)
PsycInfo
Effective Public Health Practice Project (Hamilton, Ontario)
Web of Knowledge (Science and Social Science Citation Indices)
Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN)
SportDiscus
Transport

Box B**Search syntax for electronic databases**

(walk* OR stair use OR activ* commut* OR activ* travel* OR green* commut* OR green* transport* OR green* travel* OR ecological commut* OR ecological transport* OR ecological travel* OR non-motorised OR non-motorized [OR activ* transport*]† [OR physical* activ* OR exercis*]‡) AND (campaign* OR encourag* OR habit* or impact* or increase* or intervention* or pattern* or policy or policies or program* or project* or promot* or scheme* or shift* or start* [OR Health behavior/ OR Health education/ OR Health promotion/ OR Patient education/]§

We also ran a separate search for the term pedometer*

* Truncation wildcard

† Non-biomedical databases only

‡ Transport database only

§ MeSH headings used in Medline database only

Box C**Websites searched**

www.activelivingresearch.org
www.dpi.wa.gov.au/travelmart
www.eltis.org
www.epommweb.org
www.nottingham.ac.uk/sbe/planbiblios
www.ntl.bts.gov
www.sustrans.org.uk
www.transguide.org
www.transport.sa.gov.au/environment/travelmart
www.travelmart.gov.au
www.travelmart.vic.gov.au
www.trl.co.uk

Study selection and inclusion criteria

Our search syntax for electronic databases was deliberately sensitive rather than specific and resulted in a large proportion of initial hits which were obviously irrelevant to the research question on the basis of their titles (for example, the search term *walk** applied to a wide range of databases retrieved titles which referred to walk-in clinics, 'dead man walking', 'walking the talk', or George Walker Bush). We rapidly sifted out obviously irrelevant references of this kind on the basis of their titles, reducing the number requiring detailed assessment to a total of 2163. We then assessed the titles and abstracts of each of the remaining references against three outline inclusion criteria: was it a study of the effects of an intervention, was it a controlled before-and-after study, and was walking one of the outcomes reported? We were able to exclude a small number of harder-to-source studies without ordering the full text (for example, by confirming directly with the author or supervisor of an overseas postgraduate thesis that the study did not meet our inclusion criteria). With these exceptions, we ordered the full text of any reference which appeared capable of meeting these criteria, and assessed the full text against the following detailed inclusion criteria:

Types of study design — Randomised controlled trials or controlled before-and-after experimental or observational studies of the effects of an intervention on how much people walk. The effects of the intervention had to be compared with those observed in a 'no intervention', 'attention control' and/or 'minimal intervention' control or comparison group, area or population. We excluded studies in which the 'control' condition consisted of an alternative intervention which was intended or likely to promote walking and which exceeded what we judged could reasonably be described as 'standard' or 'usual' care, treatment or practice.

Types of participant — People of any age, except that we excluded studies focused on trained athletes or sports students.

Types of intervention — Interventions of any kind and in any field, whether targeted on individuals, settings, groups, communities or whole populations and including fiscal, environmental, legislative and other policy interventions.

We did not exclude studies solely on the basis that they involved interventions directed at 'clinical' or 'institutional' populations. However, we did exclude studies in which we deemed

that the purpose, setting and outcome of the intervention were *all* primarily clinical (for example, the early mobilisation of hospital inpatients following a stroke, or where the 'walking' comprised an exercise test under clinical supervision and ECG monitoring, or studies to evaluate the effects of a prescribed walking regime on clinical or physiological outcomes).

Types of outcome measure — Studies had to report a specific measure of walking at both baseline and follow-up in such a way that the change in walking in the intervention group had been or could be compared with that in the control group. A change in the amount of walking was the primary outcome measure for the systematic review, but need not have been the primary outcome measure of the primary study or the stated aim of the intervention. For example, changes in walking could have been reported as part of an overall assessment of changes in physical activity, or the amount of walking could have changed as an indirect or unintended effect of a policy or environmental intervention.

We defined 'walking' as commonly understood in everyday life, undertaken for any or all purposes including transport, leisure, sport, exercise (human or dog-walking) or fitness. We accepted any measure of walking in these terms, including but not limited to:

- Self-reported walking, whether treated as a continuous or categorical variable, e.g. total daily or weekly minutes, estimated energy expenditure attributed to walking, proportion reporting a pre-determined threshold quantity of walking (e.g. meeting the level recommended in a public health guideline), or frequency of participation in walking
- Mode share, distance or time attributed to walking as a mode of transport (in transport studies)
- Objective measures of activity or energy expenditure attributable to walking (e.g. pedometer or accelerometer data) where available.

We excluded studies in which the 'walking' outcome measure was the mere taking of steps in a clinical context (e.g. 'mobilisation' or 'ambulation'), the assessment of the functional capacity to walk (e.g. a six-minute walk test), or simple adherence to a prescribed walking regime.

Data extraction and validity assessment

We developed and piloted bespoke data extraction and validity assessment forms which included a range of potential indicators of study validity adapted from the Hamilton (a.k.a. Thomas) tool developed for the Effective Public Health Practice Project and recommended for use, with adaptation, in a recent health technology assessment report.¹⁹ We extracted and tabulated data on the available walking outcome measures for each study and the results of statistical tests (95% confidence intervals or p-values) where authors reported them, and systematically considered the suitability of the data reported in each study for meta-analysis. In the main paper, we tabulated the most inclusive measures of walking available for each study (e.g. total time spent walking per week for all purposes, as opposed to walking for a specific purpose such as travel to and from work). We then summarised study validity using a condensed set of seven binary criteria which could meaningfully be applied to all the study designs included in the review, drawing on the approaches used by the authors in recent related systematic reviews.^{17 20}

Quality control of study selection, data extraction and validity assessment

Following a pilot calibration phase involving four members of the review team, titles and abstracts were assessed against the outline inclusion criteria by one reviewer. All undecided cases, plus a 10% sample of exclusion decisions, were cross-checked by another reviewer. Full-text articles were assessed against the detailed inclusion criteria by one reviewer, with a 10% sample of exclusion decisions (other than obviously irrelevant studies) being cross-checked by another reviewer and all undecided cases being reviewed by the team in plenary session. For each included study, data extraction and validity assessment were carried out by two reviewers who verified each other's work, with any discrepancies being resolved by discussion between the two reviewers and, where necessary, by the team in plenary session.

TABLE A. CHARACTERISTICS OF INCLUDED STUDIES

Study	Year	Intervention	Study population and location (age range where reported)	Study design	Level at which intervention allocated	Main walking outcome measure(s) ^a
Brief advice to individuals						
Purath	2004	Stage-based brief tailored counselling and goal-setting (3–5 min, based on PACE ^b) from nurse practitioner in workplace setting followed by booster telephone call 2 weeks later	Sedentary female university employees (18-65) who voluntarily attended annual health screening provided by the university (midwestern USA)	Randomised controlled trial	University department	Total minutes walked per week. Minutes walked for exercise per week. Blocks walked per day. Flights of stairs climbed per day. Minutes walked to work per week. Minutes walked on errands per week. Minutes walked during lunch or breaks per week (CAQ ^c and PACE tools adapted from NHIS ^d)
Calfas	1996	Stage-based brief tailored counselling and goal-setting (3–5 min, based on PACE ^b) from doctor or nurse followed by booster telephone call 2 weeks later	Sedentary adults (18+) registered with primary care practices (San Diego county, California, USA)	Non-randomised controlled trial	Physician	Total minutes walked per week (CAQ ^c). Minutes walked for exercise per week (CAQ; NHIS ^d)
Kerse	1999	Education programme (5 stages over 2–3 months) for general practitioners, who were expected to incorporate the intervention into their daily practice and to pass on advice on health promotion (including PA) to patients as appropriate	General practitioners and their elderly (65+) community-dwelling patients (Melbourne, Australia)	Randomised controlled trial	Physician	Minutes walked per day and in previous fortnight (National Heart Foundation of Australia and Australian Bureau of Statistics survey questions)
Halbert A	2000	Brief (20 min) individualised PA advice from an exercise specialist in a general practice setting including a written 3-month PA plan. Follow-up reinforcement sessions at 3 and 6 months	Sedentary adults (60+) in primary care practices (Adelaide, Australia)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	Sessions of walking per week. Minutes walked per session
Halbert B	2001	As in Halbert A	Sedentary adults (60+) with symptomatic osteoarthritis in primary care practices (Adelaide, Australia)	Sub-study of randomised controlled trial in Halbert A	Individual	Sessions of walking per week. Minutes walked per session

Study	Year	Intervention	Study population and location (age range where reported)	Study design	Level at which intervention allocated	Main walking outcome measure(s) ^a
Norris	2000	Stage-based brief tailored counselling and goal-setting (based on PACE ^b) from physician and written exercise prescription followed by booster telephone call from researcher 4 weeks later ^e	Healthy adults (30+) enrolled at a staff model health maintenance organisation in Puget Sound (Washington state, USA)	Randomised controlled trial	Physician	Total minutes walked per week (modified PASE ^f)
Remote support to individuals						
Napolitano	2003	Internet-based intervention (web site and 12 weekly email tip sheets) to support and encourage maintenance of PA	Healthy hospital employees (18–65) with low baseline activity levels (northeastern USA)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	Minutes walked per week (BRFSS ^g)
Jarvis	1997	Telephone-linked communication for activity counselling and tracking (TLC-ACT) system using computer-controlled speech generation to 'talk' weekly to participants for 3 months to provide positive and individualised feedback to motivate or reinforce changes in walking behaviour	Healthy sedentary individuals (60+) from a primary care practice (Boston, USA)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	Minutes walked per week
Nies	2003	Telephone counselling consisting of 16 phone calls of ~15 min duration over 24 weeks addressing exercise benefits, goal setting, exercise efficacy, social support, restructuring plans and relapse prevention	Sedentary or inactive women (30–60) in metropolitan communities in northern and southern states (USA)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	Minutes walked per day
Group-based approaches						
Coull	2004	Participation in monthly 2-hour meetings led by voluntary trained lay health mentors addressing cardiovascular risk factors and coping with ischaemic heart disease. Participants were advised to increase their PA, particularly walking	Patients (60+) with ischaemic heart disease (Falkirk, Scotland)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	Minutes walked per week

Study	Year	Intervention	Study population and location (age range where reported)	Study design	Level at which intervention allocated	Main walking outcome measure(s) ^a
Fisher	2004	Neighbourhood walking program (3 walks/week for 6 months) led by walking leaders recruited from the community, plus written information and advice	Sedentary older residents (65+) of multiple neighbourhoods (Portland, Oregon, USA)	Randomised controlled trial	Neighbourhood	Neighbourhood walking activity over the preceding 6 months (composite of three 5-point rating scales)
Pereira	1998	Led walking training (twice weekly for 8 weeks) plus follow-up encouragement (including some home visits) by leaders, social events, encouragement to walk with others	Postmenopausal women (50–65) (Pittsburgh, USA)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	Usual walking in miles/week (also converted to kcal/week) (CAQ ^c plus additional items)
Ferreira	2005	Brief additional group sessions (5–10 min/week for 12 weeks) providing advice on nutrition and/or increasing moderate PA appended to weekly sessions already attended by women participating in an exercise programme ^h	Physically active older women (50–72) attending a senior centre (Sao Caetano do Sul, Brazil)	Non-randomised controlled trial	Group	Sessions of walking per week. Minutes walked per week (IPAQ ⁱ)
Michalowski	1999	Stage-matched educational sessions on physical activity (1 hour/week for 3 weeks) and written materials focusing on ways to incorporate PA into daily lifestyle	Women (28–89; most 60+) attending a health check or university wellness screening program or employed at university (West Lafayette, Indiana, USA)	Non-randomised controlled trial	Individual	Hours walked per week in past month (YALE survey)
De Kraker	2005	Lunchtime walking co-ordinator and project group established in workplaces, with organised activities throughout campaign year to promote lunchtime walking as an opportunity for social contact and compensation for sitting during most of working day	Employees (mean age 38) in sedentary jobs (Netherlands)	Non-randomised panel study	Workplace	Proportion reporting walking at lunchtime at least once a week. Frequency of walking at lunchtime in previous two weeks

Pedometers

Study	Year	Intervention	Study population and location (age range where reported)	Study design	Level at which intervention allocated	Main walking outcome measure(s) ^a
Schofield	2005	12-week self-monitoring and educational programme involving weekly half-hour group meetings for 6 weeks to review activity and set goals expressed as either step counts (in which case a pedometer was issued) or minutes, followed by written personalised reminders for a further 6 weeks ^j	Low-active adolescent girls (15–18) at high schools (Queensland, Australia)	Randomised controlled trial	School	4-day step counts (Yamax Digiwalker SW700)
Merom	NP	Self-help booklet with stage-specific advice on beginning and maintaining a walking programme, plus prepaid postcards for recording and reporting walking activity weekly for 6 weeks. Some also received a pedometer plus instructions and an additional booklet and recorded step counts ^k	Inactive adults (30–65) in urban and rural communities (New South Wales, Australia)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	Sessions and minutes of walking for leisure per week (CAQ ^c). Sessions and minutes of walking for exercise, recreation and to get to places (AAQ ^l). Odds of meeting threshold criteria to be described as regular walkers (CAQ, AAQ)
Barilotti	2002	Pedometer plus advice to set a goal of taking 10,000 steps a day, coupled with supporting measures also offered to control group (two email prompts, links to relevant websites and free use of a fitness centre during the trial)	University staff and students (18+) (Washington DC, USA)	Non-randomised controlled trial	University department	Minutes walked per week (modified Aerobic Center Longitudinal Study questionnaire)
Croteau	2004	Pedometer plus weekly individual review and counselling sessions for 4 weeks covering pedometer usage, individualised goal setting, and selection of strategies to increase PA	Older adults (68–95) in a community-based assisted living facility (Maine, USA)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	7-day step counts (Yamax Digiwalker SW-200)
Talbot	2003	Pedometer plus brief individual counselling and goal-setting to increase walking by 10% every 4 weeks, delivered in conjunction with 12 weekly 1-hour education sessions on self-management of arthritis (also offered to control group)	Adults (60+) with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee (Baltimore and Washington DC, USA)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	3-day step counts (Yamax Digiwalker SW-200)

Study	Year	Intervention	Study population and location (age range where reported)	Study design	Level at which intervention allocated	Main walking outcome measure(s) ^a
Tudor-Locke	2004	Pedometer plus manual and calendars to aid goal-setting and self-monitoring. Weekly group meetings during initial 4-week adoption phase; participants then used pedometers and calendars themselves in subsequent 12-week adherence phase	Overweight or obese sedentary adults (40–60) with type II diabetes attending a diabetes education centre (London, Ontario, Canada)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	3-day step counts (Yamax Digiwalker SW-200)
Baker	NP	Initial 4-week walking programme based on weekly graduated goals expressed as either step counts (in which case a pedometer was issued) or minutes, followed after 8 months by four supporting emails for a sub-sample of participants ^m	Respondents (mean age 42) to advertisements at a university campus (Glasgow, Scotland)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	7-day step counts (Omron HJ-104 Step-O-Meter pedometer)
Community-level approaches						
Brownson (Bootheel)	2004	Range of community-based health promotion activities including individually-tailored newsletters, feedback on use of walking trails, formation of walking groups, community walking events	Adult residents (18+) in six communities in the Bootheel region (Missouri, USA)	Controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Total minutes walked per week. Minutes walked for exercise per week (based on BRFSS ⁹)
Brownson (Ozarks)	2005	Multilevel 'ecological' community intervention including stage-matched newsletters, promotion of local walking trails, PACE ^b -based stage-matched counselling from physicians, formation of walking clubs, community walking events, newspaper articles	Adult residents (18+) of six communities in the Ozark region (Missouri, USA)	Non-randomised panel study	Community	Total minutes walked per week. Proportions walking for at least 30 min 5 days per week (based on BRFSS ⁹)

Study	Year	Intervention	Study population and location (age range where reported)	Study design	Level at which intervention allocated	Main walking outcome measure(s) ^a
Reger-Nash (Wheeling)	2005	Multifaceted media-based community campaign (2 communication waves over 12 months) including paid advertising, website, public relations events and educational activities at workplaces, churches and other local organisations; local physicians asked to write PA prescriptions for their patients; ongoing advocacy for policy and environmental change	Sedentary older adults (50–65) in Wheeling (West Virginia, USA)	Non-randomised panel study	Community	Minutes walked per week. Proportions meeting threshold criteria to be described as sufficiently active walkers or reporting increase in daily walking from baseline (BRFSS ⁹). Direct observation of walkers (2 h/day for 1 week) at 5 predetermined popular walking sites
NSW Health	2002	Community-wide environmental PA promotion campaign focusing on local parks, including park modifications (e.g. signs, repairs), distribution of maps, media advertising, and establishment of walking groups	Adult residents (25–65) of Parramatta (suburb of Sydney, Australia)	Controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Proportions reporting having walked in previous two weeks (questionnaire based on NSW Department of Health PA survey). Direct observation of walkers in parks
Reger-Nash (Welch)	2005	Mass media advertising campaign plus several additional community educational strategies, staged media events, and distribution of pedometers	Residents (35–65) of McDowell County (West Virginia, USA)	Non-randomised panel study	Community	Minutes walked per week. Odds of increasing time spent walking by at least 30 min/week
Targeted or individualised promotion of active travel						
Mutrie	2002	Self-help pack to encourage active commuting including written interactive materials, activity diary, maps, distances from local stations, information on local cycle and outdoor shops, contacts for relevant organisations, reflective safety accessories	Employees (19–69) already identified as thinking about, or having started, active commuting to work at three workplaces (Glasgow, Scotland)	Randomised controlled trial	Individual	Minutes walked to work per week (Scottish Physical Activity Questionnaire)
Marinelli	2002	IndiMark ⁿ	Households in the Grange district (inner northern Brisbane, Australia)	Non-randomised panel study	Community	Household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey)

Study	Year	Intervention	Study population and location (age range where reported)	Study design	Level at which intervention allocated	Main walking outcome measure(s) ^a
Socialdata (Perth pilot)	2000	IndiMark ⁿ	Households in South Perth (suburbs close to city centre, Perth, Australia)	Mixed non-randomised panel study and controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Household	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey). Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport
Socialdata (Perth)	2001	IndiMark ⁿ including improved timetables at bus stops and local awareness-raising campaign	Households in South Perth (suburbs close to city centre, Perth, Australia)	Controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey). Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport
Sustrans (Frome)	2002	IndiMark ⁿ	Households in Frome (Somerset, England)	Non-randomised panel study	Household	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey)
Sustrans (Gloucester pilot)	2002	IndiMark ⁿ	Households in Quedgeley (suburb of Gloucester, England)	Non-randomised panel study	Household	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey)
Socialdata (Melville)	2004	IndiMark ⁿ including improved timetables at bus stops and local awareness-raising campaign	Households in target area 'Melville West' (defined group of suburbs of Perth, Australia)	Mixed non-randomised panel study and controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey). Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport
Sustrans (Bishopston)	2004	IndiMark ⁿ including discount card for local outdoor shops, walking kit including pedometer, option of home visit to encourage walking	Households in Bishopston, St Andrews and Ashley Down (suburbs of Bristol, England)	Mixed non-randomised panel study and controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey). Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport

Study	Year	Intervention	Study population and location (age range where reported)	Study design	Level at which intervention allocated	Main walking outcome measure(s) ^a
Sustrans (Cramlington)	2004	IndiMark ⁿ including discount card for local outdoor shop, walking kit including pedometer, option of home visit to encourage walking	Households within 400m of a bus stop on the main public transport corridor in the new town of Cramlington (near Newcastle, England)	Mixed non-randomised panel study and controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey). Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport
Sustrans (Gloucester)	2004	IndiMark ⁿ including discount card for local sports shop, walking kit including pedometer, option of home visit to encourage walking	Households in Quedgeley (suburb of Gloucester, England)	Controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey). Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport
Sustrans (Nottingham)	2004	IndiMark ⁿ including discount card for local outdoor shops, walking kit including pedometer, option of home visit to encourage walking	Households in two contrasting suburbs (Nottingham, England)	Mixed non-randomised panel study and controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey). Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport
Sustrans (Sheffield)	2004	IndiMark ⁿ including discount card for local outdoor shop, walking kit including pedometer, option of home visit to encourage walking	Households on a public transport corridor in Hillsborough and Middlewood (suburbs of Sheffield, England)	Mixed non-randomised panel study and controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey). Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport
Haq	2004	Targeted marketing of active travel using incentive packages including pedometer, leaflet on benefits of walking, local walking maps. Booster telephone call and reminder postcard after 3 months	Households in selected areas of York (England)	Non-randomised panel study	Household	Proportion of all trips for which walking was the main mode of transport. Trips and distance walked per person per week
TAPESTRY (Viernheim)	2003	IndiMark ⁿ	Residents in target areas of Viernheim (Germany)	Controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year (New-KONTIV travel survey)

Study	Year	Intervention	Study population and location (age range where reported)	Study design	Level at which intervention allocated	Main walking outcome measure(s) ^a
School travel initiatives						
McKee	2004	Multifaceted school-based Travelling Green active commuting intervention including local map, stickers, interactive workbook, parents' evening and information leaflet, weekly goal-setting activity to be done with parents, curriculum pack for teacher	Children (9–10) living within the three mile 'statutory walking distance' from primary school (West Dunbartonshire, Scotland)	Non-randomised controlled trial	School	Distance walked on journey to school, based on researcher-assisted digital mapping of individuals' routes to school and modes of transport used
Rowland	2005	16 hours of input to each school over one school year from a school travel coordinator with teaching qualifications and road safety experience: meetings with parents and governors, focus groups, school travel working groups, advice on developing and implementing a school travel plan	Pupils (6–10) in primary schools and their parents in Camden and Islington (London, England)	Randomised controlled trial	School	Proportion of children walking to school on the day of the survey
TAPESTRY (Hertfordshire)	2003	School travel initiative linked to a national (UK) 'Walk to School Week' campaign: leaflets on benefits of walking, posters and banners for display within schools, stickers, certificates, curricular packs, campaign website	Pupils (4–11) at urban primary schools and their parents in Hertfordshire (England)	Controlled repeated cross-sectional study with nested cohort	School	Proportion of children walking to school at least once per week
Miscellaneous transport interventions						
Shoup	1997	'Cashing-out' cost of subsidising workplace parking by offering at least equivalent subsidies to staff who commute by modes other than driving	Employees of case study organisations employing at least 50 staff in urban southern California (USA)	Controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Workplace	Proportion of all journeys to work over one week for which walking was the main mode of transport (SCAQMD ^o Weekly Employee Survey)
Troelsen	2004	Multifaceted city-wide cycling promotion including provision of information, improvements to infrastructure, changes to regulations, promotional campaigns	City of Odense, Denmark	Controlled repeated cross-sectional study	City	Proportion of all trips over 300m for which walking was the main mode of transport. Trips and distance walked per person per day (Danish national transport survey)

Study	Year	Intervention	Study population and location (age range where reported)	Study design	Level at which intervention allocated	Main walking outcome measure(s) ^a
Hodgson	1998	Multifaceted sustainable transport awareness campaign	Households living on trunk road corridor (Maidstone, England)	Controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Community	Frequency of walking trips by members of household in a typical week
Cervero	2002	Neighbourhood-based car-sharing cooperative	Members and aspiring members of cooperative in San Francisco (USA)	Mixed non-randomised panel study and controlled repeated cross-sectional study	Individual	Proportion of all trips over 2 days for which walking was the main mode of transport

NP: not published. PA: physical activity

^a Where no data collection tool is indicated, self-reported data were obtained using an *ad hoc* or unidentified questionnaire

^b Patient-centered Assessment and Counselling for Exercise

^c Paffenbarger's College Alumni Questionnaire

^d National Health Interview Survey

^e The intervention group was subdivided into 'regular' and 'enhanced' follow-up groups (the latter received additional telephone and postcard follow-up prompts at 2, 3, 4 and 5 months), but there was no difference in outcomes between the two groups

^f Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly

^g Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System

^h Three intervention groups: nutrition advice only, PA advice only, or both

ⁱ International Physical Activity Questionnaire

^j Two intervention groups: goals expressed as pedometer step counts or goals expressed as minutes

^k Two intervention groups: mailed walking programme (WP) or mailed walking programme plus pedometer (WPP)

^l Active Australia Questionnaire

^m Two-stage design: sample initially randomised to initial 4-week intervention group, then again at 8 months into email or control groups for follow-up. Two intervention groups in initial phase: goals expressed as pedometer step counts or goals expressed as minutes

ⁿ IndiMark® is an individualised marketing technique aimed at promoting the use of environmentally friendly modes of transport (EFM). Households are initially segmented into 'R' (regular EFM users), 'I' (interested), and 'N' (not interested). Group 'R' is subdivided into 'R with' (requiring further information) and 'R without' (not requiring information) (segmentation phase). All 'R' households receive promotional material to reward and confirm their travel choices. Households in groups 'I' and 'R with' receive information and services (bus and train timetables, cycling information, health materials, etc) according to their level of interest and current use of EFMs as well as promotional material, maps and personalised journey planners (information phase). Home visits to discuss travel needs are also available to 'I' households, and this group is also eligible for further travel incentives, e.g. free bus tickets (convincing phase). 'N' households receive no further contact

^o South Coast Air Quality Management District

TABLE B. KEY METHODOLOGICAL AND OUTCOME DATA FOR INCLUDED STUDIES

Study	Sample size ^a	% Female ^b	Response rate ^c	Completion rate ^d	Follow-up	Outcome measure	Findings
Brief advice to individuals							
Purath	271	100%	63%	90%	6 weeks	Total minutes walked per week	Net change +26.9 (P=0.001)
						Minutes walked for exercise per week	Net change +45.0 (P=0.001)
						Blocks walked per day	Net change +5.77 (P=0.033)
						Flights of stairs climbed per day	Net change +0.4 (NS)
						Minutes walked to work per week	Net change -0.44 (NS)
						Minutes walked on errands per week	Net change +7.9 (NS)
						Minutes walked during lunch or breaks per week	Net change +3.4 (NS)
Calfas	212 ^e	84% ^e	63% ^e	83% ^e	4–6 weeks	Total minutes walked per week (CAQ)	Net change +13 (residualised change scores adjusted for clustering by office: intervention +0.1131, control -0.1093; P<0.025)
						Minutes walked for exercise per week (CAQ)	Net change +28.8 (residualised change scores adjusted for clustering by office: intervention +0.1984, control -0.1668; P<0.05)
						Minutes walked for exercise per week (NHIS)	Net change +29.6 (residualised change scores adjusted for clustering by office: intervention +0.2299, control -0.1928; P<0.05)
Kerse	267 ^f	54% ^f	64% ^f	85% ^f	12 months	Minutes walked per day	Mean effect size +8.4 (95% CI -0.31 to +17.6, P=0.059) ^g
						Minutes walked in previous fortnight	Mean effect size +88 (95% CI +8 to +168, P=0.032) ^h
Halbert A	274	54%	32%	83%	12 months	Sessions of walking per week	Intervention group: median increased from 0 to 3; control group: median increased from 0 to 2 (difference between groups: P<0.05)

Study	Sample size ^a	% Female ^b	Response rate ^c	Completion rate ^d	Follow-up	Outcome measure	Findings
						Minutes walked per session	Intervention and control groups: both medians increased from 0 to 30 min (no significant difference between groups)
Halbert B	69	59%	32% ⁱ	100%	12 months	Sessions of walking per week	Increased in both groups (no significant difference between groups; precise data NR)
						Minutes walked per session	Increased in both groups (no significant difference between groups; precise data NR)
Norris	822 ^j	52% ^j	53% ^j	94% ^j	6 months	Total minutes walked per week	Net change +0.1 (7.4% difference between groups at follow-up, P=0.41)
Remote support to individuals							
Napolitano	52	86%	NA ^k	70%	3 months	Minutes walked per week	Net change +61.69 (P<0.05)
Jarvis	52	100% ^l	36%	71% ^m	3 months	Minutes walked per week	Significant net increase among those reporting low baseline levels of walking (+50; P<0.02) but not among those reporting 60 min/week or more of walking at baseline
Nies	160	100%	NA ^k	81%	6 months	Minutes walked per day	Net change +4.6 (P<0.01)
Group-based approaches							
Coull	289	40%	78%	91%	12 months	Minutes walked per week	Net change +73 (95% CI +1 to +137; P<0.05)
Fisher	582 ⁿ	69%	31%	70% ^o	6 months	Neighbourhood walking activity over the preceding 6 months	Effect size 0.20. In multilevel growth curve analysis, significant change in slope mean for the intervention neighbourhoods (P<0.001), showing an increase in neighbourhood walking; no significant change in control (P=0.12); significant difference between intervention and control (P<0.05)
Pereira	196	100%	NA ^k	84%	>10 years	Miles walked in usual week	Net increase in median +2.6 at 2-year follow-up, +7.3 at 10-year follow up ^p
						Estimated energy expended in walking in usual week	Significant net increase in median energy expenditure attributable to total walking (+420 kcal/week, P=0.01) and to walking for exercise (+706 kcal/week, P=0.01)

Study	Sample size ^a	% Female ^b	Response rate ^c	Completion rate ^d	Follow-up	Outcome measure	Findings
Ferreira	62	100%	NR	60%	12 weeks	Sessions of walking per week. Minutes walked per week	Significant increase in frequency of sessions in both the PA-only intervention group (+2.9, P<0.05) and the control group (+1.8, P<0.05). Significant increase in minutes in the PA-only group (+62 min/week, P<0.05). No significant differences between any of the intervention groups and the control group
Michalowski	48	100%	NR ^q	96%	4 months	Hours walked per week in past month	No significant difference between groups (mean changes: intervention group +0.53, control group -0.83)
De Kraker	249	63%	44%	36%	12 months	Proportion walking at lunchtime at least once a week	Significant increase in intervention workplaces (from 52% to 71%; 'P=0.00') but not in control workplaces (from 39% to 47%; P=0.59); significant difference in changes between intervention and control workplaces (P=0.01). No significant differences between subgroups defined by baseline PA or baseline intention to walk at lunchtime
						Frequency of walking at lunchtime in previous two weeks	Significant increases in both intervention workplaces (+0.8, 'P=0.00') and control workplaces (+1.0, 'P=0.00'; no significant difference between groups (P=0.67)
Pedometers							
Schofield	68	100%	94%	75%	12 weeks	Daily step counts averaged over 4 days	Significant increase in pedometer group compared with control (net change +2591 steps/day; effect size=0.13, P=0.03) but not in time-based group compared with control
Merom	369 ^r	85%	NA ^s	83%	3 months	Sessions and minutes of walking for leisure per week (CAQ)	Significant difference between groups in median changes in weekly sessions (ITT: WPP +2.0, WP +1.4, control 0; P<0.001) and minutes (ITT: WPP +54, WP +25, control 0; P=0.002)
						Sessions and minutes of walking for exercise, recreation and to get to places (AAQ)	Significant difference between groups in median change in weekly sessions (ITT: WPP +1.0, WP +1.0, control 0; P=0.021) but not in median change in weekly minutes (ITT: WPP +30, WP +15, control +15; P=0.337)

Study	Sample size ^a	% Female ^b	Response rate ^c	Completion rate ^d	Follow-up	Outcome measure	Findings
						Odds of meeting threshold criteria to be described as regular leisure-time walkers (5 x 30 min/week) (CAQ)	Participants in intervention groups not significantly more likely than controls to be regular leisure-time walkers (ITT: WPP: adjusted OR 1.95, 95% CI 0.75 to 5.09; WP: adjusted OR 2.18, 95% CI 0.85 to 5.62)
						Odds of meeting threshold criteria to be described as regular walkers (at least 150 min and 5 sessions per week) (AAQ)	Participants in intervention groups not significantly more likely than controls to be regular walkers (ITT: WPP: adjusted OR 1.75, 95% CI 0.92 to 3.34; WP: adjusted OR 0.88, 95% CI 0.43 to 1.79)
Barilotti	67	67%	NA ^k	75%	6 weeks	Minutes walked per week	Net change +57.5 (P=0.03; absolute baseline values NR)
Croteau	15	93%	NA ^k	75%	6 weeks	7-day step counts	Net change -1124 steps/week (no significant difference between groups)
Talbot	34	77%	NR ^t	81% ^u	24 weeks	Daily step counts averaged over 3 days	Significant time-group interaction after accounting for age (P=0.04); significant between-group differences between baseline and 12 weeks (P=0.01) but not between 12 and 24 weeks. Net change in unadjusted step counts +1498 steps/day at 12 weeks, +687 at 24 weeks; unadjusted step counts for intervention group not reported to be significantly different from baseline at either follow-up point
Tudor-Locke	38	45%	47%	53% ^v	24 weeks	Daily step counts averaged over 3 days	Significant net increase between baseline and 16 weeks (+4027 steps/day, P<0.001) but no significant difference between groups at 24 weeks (7924 vs 6557, P=0.17)
Baker	61	72%	NA ^k	66%	12 months	7-day step counts	Significant time-group interaction (P=0.01). Within pedometer group, significant increase between baseline and 4 weeks (+21039 steps/week, P<0.001); significant decrease between 4 weeks and 52 weeks (-12595 steps/week, P=0.044); no significant difference between baseline and 52 weeks (P=0.122). No significant changes over time within time-based or control groups. No significant difference in step counts between email and no-email subgroups

Study	Sample size ^a	% Female ^b	Response rate ^c	Completion rate ^d	Follow-up	Outcome measure	Findings
Community-level approaches							
Brownson (Bootheel)	1233 ^w	75%	NR	NA	13–20 months ^x	Total minutes walked per week	Net change –1.4 (P=0.91)
						Minutes walked for exercise per week	Net change –5.6 (P=0.37)
Brownson (Ozarks)	1531	76%	65%	62%	12 months	Total minutes walked per week	Net change +5.2 (NS)
						Proportions walking for at least 30 min 5 days per week	No significant difference between groups at baseline (18.8% vs 19.1%, P=0.86) or follow-up (22.2% vs 21.6%, P=0.81) after adjustment for baseline walking, age, education, and gender. Positive but non-significant linear trend in walking within intervention group according to 'dose' of intervention to which exposed (P=0.09)
Reger-Nash (Wheeling)	463 ^y	68%	72%	69%	8 weeks	Minutes walked at moderate intensity per week	Among participants defined as sedentary at baseline, net change +20 (NS)
						Proportion of participants walking at moderate intensity for at least 30 min/day on at least 5 days/week	Among participants defined as sedentary at baseline, significant difference in favour of intervention community (32.2% vs 18%; OR 2.12; 95% CI 1.41 to 2.24, P<0.05)
						Direct observation of walkers (2 h/day for 1 week) at 5 predetermined popular walking sites	23% increase in observations in the intervention community compared with a 6% decrease in the comparison community (OR 1.31; 95% CI 1.14 to 1.50, P<0.0001)
	730	68%	72% ^z	47%	12 months	Minutes walked per week	Significant net increase after 3 and 12 months (not after 6 months) only in group A (walked for 0–10 min/day at baseline): net difference in medians between groups at 12 months +75 min/week (P<0.01)
						Proportion of participants walking at moderate intensity for at least 30 min/day on at least 5 days/week	Significant net increase after 3 months only in groups A (walked for 0–10 min/day at baseline: 30.6% vs 16.7%, P<0.05) and B (walked for 15–60 min/day at baseline: 60% vs 44.4%, P<0.01). After 12 months, significant net increase persisted only for group A (32.4% vs 18.2%, P<0.05)

Study	Sample size ^a	% Female ^b	Response rate ^c	Completion rate ^d	Follow-up	Outcome measure	Findings
NSW Health	840 ^{aa}	NR	20%	NA	9 months	Odds of an increase in time spent walking in group A (most sedentary at baseline)	After 3 months, OR 1.93 (95% CI 1.21 to 3.28, P<0.01); after 12 months, OR 1.72 (95% CI 1.01 to 2.95, P<0.05)
						Proportion reporting having walked in previous two weeks	No significant difference between groups in walking for exercise or recreation (P=0.728), but respondents in the intervention community were significantly more likely than controls to have walked for reasons other than exercise or recreation (P<0.0001) and for any reason (proportion who had walked for any reason increased from 83.6% to 89.3% in the intervention area and from 80.2% to 81.0% in the control area, P=0.001)
Reger-Nash (Welch)	173	NR	NR	54%	NR	Direct observation of walkers in parks	No significant increase in incidence of observations of park users or walkers
						Minutes walked per week	In the most sedentary group (walked for 0–10 min/day at baseline), net increase in median: +60 min/week (NS)
						Odds of increasing time spent walking by at least 30 min/week	Adjusted OR 2.0 (95% CI 1.01 to 3.97)
Targeted or individualised promotion of active travel							
Mutrie	194	64%	89% ^{bb}	61%	6 months	Time spent walking to work per week	Relative increase in time spent walking to work by intervention group was 1.93 (95% CI 1.06 to 3.52) times the increase for a corresponding member of the control group who walked the same amount at baseline
						Minutes walked to work per week by participants who did not walk to work at the start of the study	Significant net increase in favour of intervention group (+125 vs +61) ^{cc}
						Minutes walked to work per week by participants who already walked to work at the start of the study	Significant net increase in favour of intervention group (+27 vs +10) ^{cc}

Study	Sample size ^a	% Female ^b	Response rate ^c	Completion rate ^d	Follow-up	Outcome measure	Findings
Marinelli	589 ^{dd}	NR	78%	84%	3–11 months	Household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year	Net increase +18 trips/year
Socialdata (Perth pilot)	413 ^{dd}	NR	77%	85%	12 months ^{ee}	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year	Net increase from 12% to 15% +34 trips/year
						Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport	+3
Socialdata (Perth)	1959	NR	76%	83% ^{ff}	8 months	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year	Increased from 12% to 16% (P<0.01), compared with decrease from 15% to 13% in control group +49 trips/year
						Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport	+3
Sustrans (Frome)	749	NR	73%	80%	4 months	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year	Increased from 30% to 33%, compared with no change in control group (22%) +31 trips/year
Sustrans (Gloucester pilot)	624	NR	66%	76%	4 months	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year	Increased from 27% to 30%, compared with no change in control group (19%) +25 trips/year

Study	Sample size ^a	% Female ^b	Response rate ^c	Completion rate ^d	Follow-up	Outcome measure	Findings
Socialdata (Melville)	2410	NR	78%	87% ^{gg}	10 months ^{hh}	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year	Net increase from 10% to 12% +26 trips/year
						Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport	+5
Sustrans (Bishopston)	993	NR	73%	78% ⁱⁱ	9 months	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year	Net increase from 38% to 40% +19 trips/year
						Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport	+2
Sustrans (Cramlington)	796	NR	75%	70% ⁱⁱ	9 months	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year	Net increase from 22% to 26% +34 trips/year
						Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport	+1
Sustrans (Gloucester)	889	NR	65%	NA	9 months	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year	Net increase from 21% to 25% +40 trips/year
						Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport	+2

Study	Sample size ^a	% Female ^b	Response rate ^c	Completion rate ^d	Follow-up	Outcome measure	Findings
Sustrans (Nottingham)	1337	NR	65%	80% ^{jj}	6 months	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport	Net increase from 25% to 30% (+59 trips/year) in Lady Bay (more affluent, peripheral suburb) Net increase from 32% to 34% (+22 trips/year) in the Meadows (less affluent, more central suburb) Lady Bay: +3 Meadows: +2
Sustrans (Sheffield)	986	NR	65%	NA	9 months	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year Minutes walked per day as main mode of transport	Net increase from 25% to 29% +38 trips/year +2
Haq	227	55%	73% 10% 16% ^{kk}	62%	6 months	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport Trips walked per person per week Kilometres walked per person per week	Increased from 8% to 18%, compared with a decrease from 9% to 8% in control group Increased from 5.5 to 5.8, compared with a decrease from 7 to 4 in control group No change, compared with a decrease of 0.1 km in control group
TAPESTRY (Viernheim)	987	NR	76%	NA	12 months	Proportion of all household trips for which walking was the main mode of transport, scaled up to estimated total number of walking trips per year	28% vs 26% +16 trips/year
School travel initiatives							
McKee	55	60%	100% ^{ll}	92%	7 weeks	Distance walked on journey to school	Net increase +555 metres (95% CI +315 to +795, P<0.001)

Study	Sample size ^a	% Female ^b	Response rate ^c	Completion rate ^d	Follow-up	Outcome measure	Findings
Rowland	1386	53%	51% mm	92% nn	One school year + 2 months	Proportion of children walking to school on the day of the survey	70% of children in intervention schools at follow-up compared with 71% of children in control schools. Adjusted OR for walking, cycling or using public transport 0.98 (95% CI 0.61 to 1.59) ^{oo}
TAPESTRY (Hertfordshire)	1403	NR	38% pp	51% qq	3–4 weeks	Proportion of children walking to school at least once per week	Increased from 75% to 76% in intervention schools and decreased from 78% to 77% in control schools (NS)
Miscellaneous transport interventions							
Shoup	1807	NR	~ 90% rr	NA	1–3 years depending on site	Proportion of all journeys to work over one week for which walking was the main mode of transport	Increased from 2.3% to 3.4% (separate chi-squared test for change in overall distribution of mode share at each of the eight intervention workplaces P<0.01) compared with no change at the single control workplace (1%)
Troelsen	~ 500 ss	NR	~ 65% tt	NA	Comparison of data from pre-intervention (1994–97) and intra-intervention (1999–2002) periods	Proportion of all trips over 300m for which walking was the main mode of transport Trips walked per person per day Kilometres walked per person per day	Decreased from 9.7% to 8.8% (net increase of 8% after adjustment for trends in control areas and other confounders) –0.06 (unadjusted); +0.02 (adjusted for trends in control areas and other confounders) –0.1 (unadjusted); +0.1 (adjusted for trends in control areas and other confounders)
Hodgson	1218	NR	20%	NA	2 years	Frequency of walking trips by members of household in a typical week	Intervention area: –0.07 (NS). Control area: +0.13 (NS)
Cervero	NR uu	59%	22%	NR vv	8–9 months	Proportion of all trips over 2 days for which walking was the main mode of transport	Non-significant increase in both intervention group (+0.1%, P>0.10) and control group (+3.5%, P>0.10); no significant difference between groups

95% CI: 95% confidence interval. ITT: intention-to-treat analysis. NA: not applicable. NR: not reported. NS: not statistically significant. OR: odds ratio. RR: response rate

^a Number of participants in follow-up analysis

^b Baseline sample unless otherwise indicated

^c Proportion of selected individuals that agreed to participate (either total RR or the average of the intervention and control group RRs if reported separately); if a repeat cross-sectional study, the lowest wave-specific response rate

^d Proportion of participants completing the study (if this varied between intervention and control groups, the lowest is recorded); not applicable to repeat cross-sectional studies

- ^e Numbers given refer to patient sample. Physician data: 17 physicians (29% female); RR for physicians NR; completion rate for physicians 77%. Only physicians interested in physical activity counselling were recruited into the intervention arm of the study in order to maximise compliance. Control physicians were matched with intervention physicians on specialty and demographic profile of patients
- ^f Numbers given refer to patient sample. Physician data: 40 physicians (14% female); RR for physicians 51%; completion rate for physicians 95%. 10 elderly patients selected from each practice
- ^g Reanalysis of the proportions of people who increased their walking by discrete amounts also showed a significant net effect of the intervention (on quintiles of min/day, $P=0.005$; on tertiles of min/fortnight, $P=0.025$)
- ^h Correlation between self-reported walking in previous fortnight and on previous day was high both at baseline (Pearson's coefficient 0.66, $P<0.0001$) and at follow-up (0.38, $P<0.0001$)
- ⁱ Not specifically reported in this paper, but taken to be the same as in Halbert A (Halbert B reports data from a subset of the Halbert A trial with same initial recruitment and allocation)
- ^j Numbers given refer to patient sample. Physician data: 34 physicians from three geographically separate primary care clinics were recruited; RR for physicians 80%. Physicians were randomised to intervention or control groups, stratified by clinic to ensure equal numbers per treatment group at each clinic. Two physicians dropped out after randomisation but prior to patient recruitment
- ^k Study population selected from respondents to an advertising campaign inviting participation in a trial, therefore not possible to calculate true response rate
- ^l Proportion of female participants in initial sample not stated. The initial sample included both men and women, of whom 68 (76% female) completed the trial. This paper only reports data for the 52 women who completed the trial
- ^m Initial intervention group (i.e. men and women)
- ⁿ 442 (treatment-received analysis), 582 (intention-to-treat analysis)
- ^o Intervention group (NR for control group). Overall, the proportions providing data at each timepoint were 100% (baseline), 83% (3 months) and 80% (6 months)
- ^p Self-reported walking outcome data at the end of the original 2-year study were validated using objective data (Large Scale Integrated Activity Monitor)
- ^q Recruited from a corporate women's health check, university employee wellness screening, and from employees in one university administration building. Participants self-selected into intervention and control groups
- ^r 314 (treatment-received analysis), 369 (intention-to-treat analysis)
- ^s Study population recruited from among respondents to New South Wales health survey as well as by placing advertisements in local newspapers and on the area health services intranet, therefore not possible to calculate true response rate
- ^t Recruited through senior centres and advertisements in local newspapers
- ^u Reflects participants who dropped out after an unspecified interval following baseline testing and randomisation
- ^v Completion rate at 16 weeks was higher (77%). Difference in completion rate at 16 and 24 weeks mainly accounted for by drop-outs from the intervention group
- ^w Size of follow-up sample NR; baseline data for 1233 participants reported
- ^x Baseline survey 2000-2001, follow-up survey 2002
- ^y Sedentary participants in intervention and control groups combined. 8-week follow-up data are reported only for this sedentary subgroup
- ^z By implication (follow-up study)
- ^{aa} By implication ('420 completed interviews were required from each ward at each of the data collection periods')
- ^{bb} After preliminary recruitment and screening to identify employees who met the stage-of-change criteria for receiving the intervention
- ^{cc} $P<0.05$ by implication from general methods reported for study
- ^{dd} Number of households (number of individuals NR)
- ^{ee} Control group data not reported for 2-year follow-up
- ^{ff} RR for follow-up cross-sectional survey in intervention area was 76%. In control area, original panel was followed up with completion rate of 83%
- ^{gg} RR for follow-up cross-sectional survey in intervention area was 78%. In control area, original panel was followed up with completion rate of 87%
- ^{hh} 10 months after onset of intervention, 3.5 years after baseline survey
- ⁱⁱ Mixed repeated cross-sectional and panel design; not clear what this figure refers to

- jj Intervention area. Repeated cross-sectional study in control area
- kk Response rates for intervention group Y1 (telephone survey), intervention group Y2 (postal survey) and control group (postal survey) respectively
- ll All children in selected classes took part
- mm Cluster randomised controlled trial in which the school was the unit of allocation: 21/41 (51%) of eligible schools agreed to participate and were randomised
- nn 10/11 (91%) of intervention schools and all 10 control schools responded at follow-up, with total achieved samples of 714 and 672 children respectively. Pupil-level RR 85%
- oo 92% of non-car journeys made on foot
- pp Pupil-level response rate in follow-up wave of repeated cross-sectional survey. At school level, 147/443 (33%) eligible schools were supplied with intervention materials, of which 11 (8%) agreed to participate in the evaluation study. School-level response rate for the control arm of the study NR
- qq Proportion of baseline responses from intervention schools successfully matched to a follow-up response in nested cohort analysis; reported outcomes based on both matched and unmatched (repeat cross-sectional) data
- rr Response rate varied between case-study sites (eight intervention workplaces and one control workplace) from ~70% to 100%
- ss Intervention area only (NR for control areas; assumed to be approximately equal)
- tt NR in this paper; based on aggregate reported RR for Danish national transport survey
- uu 147 respondents completed both baseline and 3-month follow-up, of whom the majority were in the control group; 8-to-9-month follow-up contained responses from 247 members and 157 non-members, but not clear how many of these had completed the baseline wave
- vv Overall RRs for the follow-up cross-sectional surveys: 34.6% at 3 months; 22.6% at 8-to-9 months. Follow-up rates within the panel NR

TABLE C. SOCIAL DISTRIBUTION OF EFFECTS ON WALKING

Study	Reported findings
Brief advice to individuals	
Halbert A	Authors report (data not shown) that men reported significantly more minutes of walking per session than women at all follow-up points (P=0.02)
Remote support to individuals	
Napolitano	Men were more likely than women to drop out of the study
Jarvis	Although the paper reports data for women only, the initial sample contained both men and women. In multivariate analysis controlling for minutes walked at baseline and treatment group, sex was not significantly related to minutes walked at follow-up, nor did the effect of the intervention differ between males and females
Nies	In multivariate analysis, intervention-group women in southern states reported a significantly greater increase in walking than control-group women in southern states, whereas among northern women neither intervention nor control groups reported a significant increase. The effect of the intervention was not significantly related to either race or income
Group-based approaches	
Coull	Authors note that participation did not vary between socio-economic groups
Fisher	Effect of intervention was not moderated by a dichotomised variable representing neighbourhood walking friendliness
De Kraker	Significant increase in proportion reporting walking at lunchtime at least once a week in intervention workplaces in both 'green' (n=2, P=0.02) and 'grey' (urban, built up) (n=2, P=0.03) settings; no significant difference between settings (P=0.44)
Community-level approaches	
Brownson (Bootheel)	Different effect sizes seen in different subgroups: non-significant positive net changes in total walking among respondents with a high school degree or less and among respondents with annual household income <\$20,000; non-significant negative net change in total walking among African-American respondents
Brownson (Ozarks)	Dose-response relationship stronger for men than for women (men: trend for dose: categorical P=0.13, continuous P=0.08; women: trend for dose: categorical P=0.32, continuous P=0.45). When outcomes were stratified by access to the physical environment, there was a statistically significant linear trend in increase in walking with dose of intervention only in the subgroup exposed to high-access environments
NSW Health	Significant area-by-gender interaction: in the intervention area males were more likely to walk than females, whereas in the control area females were more likely to walk than males. 'For males in the intervention ward the odds of walking were 2.8 times higher than for males in the control ward, whereas the odds of walking for females in the intervention ward were only 20% higher than for females in the control ward' Odds of walking 'at least 50% higher' for respondents whose income was \$45,000 or more compared with those earning less than \$25,000 Odds of walking '30% lower' (95% CI 0.46 to 0.94) in the 35–44 age group compared with the 25–34 age group Odds of walking '40% lower' (95% CI 0.33 to 0.95) for respondents whose usual language at home was not English compared with English-speaking respondents
Targeted or individualised promotion of active travel	

Study	Reported findings
Socialdata (Perth pilot)	Walking trips increased in all age groups 'with no special tendency'; largest increase was among females aged 20–59 (compared with males aged 20–59, all aged under 20, and all aged 60+)
Sustrans (Bishopston)	Increase in walking mode share was seen in each of the five postcode sectors included in the intervention area
Sustrans (Nottingham)	No absolute increase in walking seen in the Meadows (less affluent area), perhaps reflecting higher baseline mode share for walking (therefore lower market for the intervention) or lower contact rate with potential participants
Haq	No differences in uncontrolled changes in walking mode share between the four intervention areas, but large differences when compared with controls (changes reported among controls in each of these areas were –14%, 0, +9%, and –19% for areas characterised as 'low income', 'private housing development', and 'rural high income and high car ownership' (two areas) respectively)

95% CI: 95% confidence interval

TABLE D. EFFECTS ON PHYSICAL ACTIVITY, FITNESS, DISEASE RISK FACTORS, HEALTH AND WELLBEING

Study	Effect sought	Findings
Brief advice to individuals		
Purath	Physical activity	Significant net increase in vigorous plus moderate activity at the weekend (CAQ: +0.41 hours, P=0.008) but not on weekdays (+0.29 hours, NS)
Calfas	Physical activity	Significant net increase in 3-day Caltrac accelerometer counts in sub-sample (n=56: +17.1 counts/hour, P<0.005), but no significant difference between groups in self-reported moderate activity (Seven-day Physical Activity Recall)
Kerse	Physical activity	No significant difference between groups in total activity in previous fortnight
	Self-rated health	Measured on a 5-point scale. In general: mean change -0.09 (95% CI -0.14 to +0.33, P=0.44). Self-rated health now compared with 12 months ago: mean change +0.28 (95% CI +0.02 to +0.52, P=0.029)
	Frequency of pleasurable activities	Measured on a 5-point scale. Mean change +0.30 (95% CI +0.16 to +0.43, P<0.001)
	Other	No significant differences between groups in functional status, psychological wellbeing, or total number of drugs used
Halbert A	Physical activity	Significant net increase in both frequency (+2 sessions/week, P<0.05) and duration (+20 min/session, P<0.05) of self-reported vigorous activity, but no significant difference between groups in 4-day Caltrac accelerometer counts in sub-sample (n=59)
	Disease risk factors	No significant differences between groups in resting heart rate, blood pressure or lipid profile. Body weight decreased among men and control-group women, but increased significantly among intervention-group women (P=0.01)
	Self-rated health	SF-36 scores decreased in both groups. Women in the intervention group reported significantly greater decreases than controls on the role emotional (P=0.02), role physical (P=0.04) and social functioning (P=0.04) subscales
Halbert B	Physical activity	No significant difference between groups
	Disease risk factors	No significant differences between groups in resting heart rate, blood pressure, lipid profile or body weight
	Osteoarthritis symptom score	No significant difference between groups (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index)
	Self-rated health	No significant difference between groups (SF-36)
Norris	Physical activity	No significant difference between groups (Paffenbarger physical activity index)
	Other	No significant differences between groups in a range of indicators (self-rated health, number of falls in past 6 months, emotional well-being) except that participants in the intervention group were significantly more likely than controls to report cutting down on work because of illness (20.9% vs 16.9%, P=0.02)
Remote support to individuals		
Napolitano	Physical activity	Significant net increase in moderate activity after one month (+13.58 min/week, P<0.05) but no significant difference after 3 months

Study	Effect sought	Findings
Nies	Fitness	No significant differences between groups in 1-mile walk test or VO ₂ max
	Disease risk factors	No significant differences between groups in body mass index or blood pressure
	Wellbeing	Intervention group reported greater increases than control group in both vigour (net increase +1.60, P<0.10) and fatigue (net increase +1.87, P<0.10) subscales of Profile of Mood States
Group-based approaches		
Coull	Physical activity	Intervention group had a significantly smaller decrease in self-rated current exercise activity than control group (measured on a 5-point scale: net change +0.33; 95% CI +0.02 to +0.52, P<0.05). Net increase in total exercise (min/week): +147 (95% CI -8 to +266, NS)
	Fitness	No significant difference between groups in duration of exercise tolerance test in a sub-sample (n=189) at follow-up
	Disease risk factors	No significant differences between groups in blood pressure or lipid profile
	Wellbeing	Significant net improvement only on physical functioning subscale of SF-36 (+6.1; 95% CI +2.4 to +9.5, P<0.01)
	Other	Intervention group required significantly less domestic help from their family (13% less; 95% CI 3 to 23, P<0.01) and had a significantly lower incidence of cardiovascular outpatient attendance (-0.25; 95% CI -0.08 to -0.61, P<0.01) than control group. Intervention group significantly less likely to require angiography (9% vs 19%; 95% CI for difference -2% to -18%, P<0.05) but significantly more likely to have a recorded episode of unstable angina (7% vs 1%; 95% CI for difference +1% to +10%, P<0.05) than control group. No significant differences between groups in other measures of health service resource use
Fisher	Wellbeing	Significant improvements in SF-12 physical (effect size 0.35, P<0.05) and mental (effect size 0.23, P<0.05) summary scores and satisfaction with life scale (SWLS: effect size 0.24, P=0.05) compared with control neighbourhoods
Pereira	Physical activity	After excluding walking for exercise, no significant difference between groups (Paffenbarger sport index)
	Other	Although none of the differences between intervention group and controls were significant, intervention group scored better on all questions on functional ability and self-rated health such as overall health, difficulty in climbing down steps, difficulty in walking 2-3 blocks on level ground, and needing a cane or other walking aid. 2% of women in the intervention group reported a diagnosis of heart disease at follow-up compared with 12% in the control group (P=0.07)
Ferreira	Physical activity	Significant net increase in weekly sessions of moderate activity in both the nutrition+PA (+0.9) and the PA-only (+1.6) intervention groups compared with the control group (-0.5) (P<0.05), but no significant differences in weekly minutes of moderate activity between any of the intervention groups and the control group (IPAQ)
Michalowski	Physical activity	No significant differences between groups in total time spent engaging in activity, vigorous activity, moving, or energy expenditure (YALE survey)
De Kraker	Physical activity	Non-significant increase in proportion of intervention group meeting national physical activity guideline (from 33% to 36%, P=0.29). The intervention group were significantly more likely than the control group to report participating in sport at least once a week (P=0.04; data NR)

Study	Effect sought	Findings
Pedometers		
Schofield	Physical activity	Non-significant increases in vigorous activity, and in moderate plus vigorous activity, in both intervention groups; no significant differences between groups (Three Day Physical Activity Recall)
	Fitness	No significant difference between groups in 1-mile walk test
	Disease risk factors	No significant changes in body mass index in any group
Merom	Physical activity (CAQ)	Significant difference between groups in median change in total leisure time activity (min/week) (ITT: WPP +64, WP +22, control 0; P=0.003) Participants in WPP group significantly more likely than controls to report sufficient leisure-time activity (at least 150 min and 5 sessions per week) (ITT: adjusted OR 2.40, 95% CI 1.17 to 4.93); participants in WP group not significantly more likely to do so than controls (ITT: adjusted OR 2.05, 95% CI 0.98 to 4.31)
	Physical activity (AAQ)	No significant difference in total activity between groups Participants in intervention groups not significantly more likely than controls to be sufficiently active (at least 150 min and 5 sessions per week) (ITT: WPP: adjusted OR 1.59, 95% CI 0.92 to 2.79; WP: adjusted OR 0.98, 95% CI 0.63 to 1.22)
Barilotti	Physical activity	No significant difference between groups
Croteau	Physical activity	Non-significant decrease in intervention group; non-significant increase in control group (PASE)
	Physical performance	Control group showed significant improvements on Physical Performance Battery (P=0.05); intervention group did not
Talbot	Physical activity	Total accelerometer vector magnitude not influenced by time or intervention group (authors suggested this could be explained by intervention group participants having adopted a more efficient gait)
	Isometric strength and functional performance	Net increase in one out of four measures of knee extensor isometric peak torque (right KE 120° PT _{ISO} : +20.1% vs -3.5%; significant difference between groups, P=0.02) but not in right KE 140° PT _{ISO} , left KE 120° PT _{ISO} or left KE 140° PT _{ISO} . Significant time-group interaction in performance of normal-pace walk-turn-walk test (adjusted for age: net improvement at 12 weeks 0.43 sec, P=0.04). No significant differences between groups in performance of fast-pace walk-turn-walk test, timed stair climb or timed chair rise
	Arthritis pain rating	No significant difference between groups
Tudor-Locke	Fitness and disease risk factors	No significant differences between groups in weight, waist circumference, hip circumference, resting heart rate, blood pressure, glycaemia or lipid profile

Study	Effect sought	Findings
Baker	Physical activity	Significant differences between groups in total minutes after 4 weeks (P=0.004), with both pedometer (P=0.002) and time-based (P=0.01) groups reporting a significantly greater number of minutes than controls (Scottish Physical Activity Questionnaire). After 52 weeks, time-based group reported significantly greater number of minutes than pedometer group (P=0.014). Analysis of changes over time showed significant increase for time-based group (P=0.01) reflecting significant increases between baseline and week 4 (P=0.007) and between baseline and week 52 (P=0.005), and for the control group (P=0.024), but post-hoc paired comparisons not significant at adjusted levels of significance. For pedometer group, no significant change over 52 weeks: significant increase between baseline and week 4 (P=0.011) followed by significant decrease between weeks 4 and 52 (P=0.012). No significant difference between email and no-email subgroups
Community-level approaches		
Brownson (Ozarks)	Physical activity	Comparable data for intervention and control communities NR
Reger-Nash (Wheeling)	Physical activity	No significant differences between groups in moderate or vigorous activity
NSW Health	Physical activity	No significant differences between groups in proportions of respondents that reported engaging in vigorous or light-to-moderate physical activity, or in at least 150 min and 5 sessions of moderate activity per week, or in 3 sessions of 20 min of vigorous activity per week
Targeted or individualised promotion of active travel		
Mutrie	Self-rated health	Significant net improvements (P<0.05) on three of the eight subscales of the SF-36: mental health (intervention group: +4, control group: -2), vitality (+7 vs 0) and general health (+5 vs -2)
Miscellaneous transport interventions		
Troelsen	Morbidity and mortality	Aggregate morbidity and mortality data presented but not clearly linked to changes in walking

95% CI: 95% confidence interval. ITT: intention-to-treat analysis. NR: not reported. NS: not statistically significant. OR: odds ratio. PA: physical activity

TABLE E. EXCLUDED STUDIES

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Aaronson L. Measuring adherence to a women's walking program: commentary by Aaronson. <i>West J Nurs Res</i> 2001;23:27-28.	ABC
Abraham C, Kelley K. RCT of a theory-based intervention promoting healthy eating and physical activity amongst out-patients older than 65 years. <i>Soc Sci Med</i> 2004;59:787-797.	C
Active Living Research. Active living research reference list for 2004-2005. www.activelivingresearch.org (accessed 5 December 2005).	D
Active Living Research. Physical activity interventions: environmental or ecological citations. www.activelivingresearch.org (accessed 5 December 2005).	D
Adams J, White M. A systematic approach to the development and evaluation of an intervention promoting stair use. <i>Health Educ J</i> 2002;61:272-286.	B
ADONIS Project. <i>Best practice to promote cycling and walking</i> . Copenhagen: Road Directorate, Danish Ministry of Transport, 1998.	ABC
Alderman C. Walking back to healthiness. <i>Nurs Stand</i> 1999;14(4):14-15.	BC
Allison M, Keller C, Powell-Cope G, Resnick B, Riesch S. Self-efficacy intervention effect on physical activity in older adults. <i>West J Nurs Res</i> 2004;26:31-58.	C
Almgren C. Effects on mode choice with individualised marketing (IndiMark) in Göteborg. European Conference on Mobility Management (ECOMM), Karlstad, Sweden, 2003.	C
American College of Sports Medicine. Walking for health: measurement and research issues and challenges [conference programme]. Urbana-Champaign, Illinois: University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2005.	D
Andersen R, Franckowiak S, Snyder J, Bartlett S, Fontaine K. Can inexpensive signs encourage the use of stairs? Results from a community intervention. <i>Ann Intern Med</i> 1998;129:363-39.	B
Anderson T. Safe routes to school in Odense, Denmark. In: Tolley R, editor. <i>The greening of urban transport: planning for walking and cycling in European cities</i> . Chichester: Wiley, 1997.	BC
Anderssen S, Stromme S. Fysisk aktivitet og helse: anbefalinger. <i>Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen</i> 2001;121:2037-2041.	D
Anton S, Perri M, Riley J, Kanasky W, Rodrigue J, Sears S, et al. Differential predictors of adherence in exercise programs with moderate versus higher levels of intensity and frequency. <i>J Sport Exerc Psychol</i> 2005;27:171-187.	C
Armstrong K, Edwards H. The effectiveness of a pram-walking exercise programme in reducing depressive symptomatology for postnatal women. <i>Int J Nurs Pract</i> 2004;10:177-194.	C
Asikainen T, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Miilunpalo S. Exercise for health for early postmenopausal women — a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. <i>Sports Med</i> 2004;34:753-778.	D
Asikainen T, Miilunpalo S, Oja P, Rinne M, Pasanen M, Uusi-Rasi K, et al. Randomised, controlled walking trials in postmenopausal women: the minimum dose to improve aerobic fitness? <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 2002;36:189-194.	AC
Aurilio L. Promotion of adoption and adherence to regular leisure-time walking behavior in healthy mid-life women: a randomized controlled study [PhD thesis]. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh, 2000.	F
Auweele Y, Boen F, Schapendonk W, Dornez K. Promoting stair use among female employees: the effects of a health sign followed by an e-mail. <i>J Sport Exerc Psychol</i> 2005;27:188-196.	B
Aytur S. Relationships of land use and transportation policies to physical activity and obesity. www.activelivingresearch.org (accessed 5 December 2005).	AB
Ball K, Bauman A, Leslie E, Owen N. Perceived environmental aesthetics and convenience and company are associated with walking for exercise among Australian adults. <i>Prev Med</i> 2001;33:434-440.	AB
Ball K, Salmon J, Leslie E, Owen N, King A. Piloting the feasibility and effectiveness of print- and telephone-mediated interventions for promoting the adoption of physical activity in Australian adults. <i>J Sci Med Sport</i> 2005;8:134-142.	B
Baranowski T, Anderson C, Carmack C. Mediating variable framework in physical activity interventions. How are we doing? How might we do better? <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 1998;15:266-297.	D

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Bar-Or O, Baranowski T. Physical activity, adiposity, and obesity among adolescents. <i>Pediatr Exerc Sci</i> 1994;6:348-360.	D
Bass D. Promoting physical activity through the extra-curricular programme. <i>Eur J Phys Educ</i> 1999;4:45-64.	ABC
Baudains C, Dingle P, Styles I. Greening commuter mode choice through workplace intervention: comparative effectiveness of three behaviour change strategies and implications for reducing car dependency in Perth, Western Australia. European Transport Conference, Cambridge, 2002.	BC
Baudains C, Styles I, Dingle P. TravelSmart Workplace: walking and the journey to work. <i>Road Transport Res</i> 2001;1:26-34.	B
Bauldoff G, Hoffman L, Zullo T, Sciruba F. Exercise maintenance following pulmonary rehabilitation: effect of distractive stimuli. <i>Chest</i> 2002;122:948-954.	AB
Bauman A, Bellew B, Owen N, Vita P. Impact of an Australian mass media campaign targeting physical activity in 1998. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 2001;21:41-47.	C
Bauman A. Updating the evidence that physical activity is good for health: an epidemiological review 2000-2003. <i>J Sci Med Sport</i> 2004;7(Suppl 1):6-19.	D
Behall K, Howe J, Martel G, Scott W, Dooly C. Comparison of resistive to aerobic exercise training on cardiovascular risk factors of sedentary, overweight premenopausal and postmenopausal women. <i>Nutr Res</i> 2003;23:607-619.	ABC
Biddle S, Fox K, Edmunds L. <i>Physical activity promotion in primary health care in England: final research report</i> . London: Health Education Authority, 1994.	D
Biddle S, Mutrie N. <i>Psychology of physical activity: determinants, well-being, and interventions</i> . London: Routledge, 2001.	ABC
Bjaras G, Harberg L, Ostenson C. Walking campaigns — a useful way to get people involved in physical activity? Experience from the Stockholm Diabetes Prevention Program (SDPP). <i>Scand J Public Health</i> 1999;27:237-238.	B
Bjaras G, Harberg L, Sydhoff J, Ostenson C. Walking campaign: a model for developing participation in physical activity? Experiences from three campaign periods of the Stockholm Diabetes Prevention Program (SDPP). <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 2001;42:9-14.	B
Black C, Collins A, Snell M. Encouraging walking: The case of journey-to-school trips in compact urban areas. <i>Urban Stud</i> 2001;38:1121-1141.	AB
Blamey A. Health promotion by encouraged use of stairs. <i>BMJ</i> 1995;311:289-290.	B
Boarnet M, Anderson C, Day K, McMillan T, Alfonzo M. Evaluation of the California Safe Routes to School legislation — urban form changes and children's active transportation to school. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 2005;28:134-140.	C
Boarnet M, Anderson C, Day K, McMillan T, Alfonzo M. <i>Safe routes to school. Volume 1: study overview and summary of results</i> . Irvine: University of California, 2003.	B
Boarnet M, Anderson C, Day K, McMillan T, Alfonzo M. <i>Safe routes to school. Volume 2: detailed results</i> . Irvine: University of California, 2003.	B
Boarnet M, Day K, Anderson C, McMillan T, Alfonzo M. California's safe routes to school program — impacts on walking, bicycling, and pedestrian safety. <i>J Am Plan Assoc</i> 2005;71:301-317.	B
Booth M, Bauman A, Oldenburg B, Owen N. Effects of a national mass-media campaign on physical activity participation. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 1992;7:241-247.	B
Boreham C, Wallace W, Nevill A. Training effects of accumulated daily stair-climbing exercise in previously sedentary young women. <i>Prev Med</i> 2000;30:277-281.	AC
Boutelle K, Jeffery R, Murray D, Schmitz M. Using signs, artwork, and music to promote stair use in a public building. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 2001;91:2004-2006.	B
Bowman B, Vecellio R, Haynes D. Strategies for increasing bicycle and pedestrian safety and use. <i>J Urban Plan Dev</i> 1994;120:105-114.	ABC
Brög W, John C. Individualised marketing — the Perth success story. Conference on marketing public transport — challenges, opportunities and success stories, Auckland, 3 August 2001.	E
Brooke-Wavell K, Jones P, Hardman A. Brisk walking reduces calcaneal bone loss in post-menopausal women. <i>Clin Sci</i> 1997;92:75-80.	A

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Brown W, Eakin E, Mummery K, Trost S. 10,000 steps Rockhampton: establishing a multi-strategy physical activity promotion project in a community. <i>Health Promot J Aust</i> 2003;14:95-100.	BC
Brown W, Mummery K, Eakin E, Schofield G. 10,000 Steps Rockhampton: Evaluation of a whole community approach to improving population levels of physical activity <i>J Phys Act Health</i> 2006;3:1-14.	C
Brownson R, Housemann R, Brown D, Jackson-Thompson J, King A, Malone B, et al. Promoting physical activity in rural communities — walking trail access, use, and effects. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 2000;18:235-241.	B
Brownson R, Smith C, Pratt M, Mack N, Jackson-Thompson J, Dean C, et al. Preventing cardiovascular disease through community-based risk reduction: the Bootheel Heart Health Project. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 1996;86:206-213.	C
Burns C. <i>Switch Off — Get Active: an evaluation of a school-based intervention to decrease sedentary behaviour and increase physical activity in primary school children in the South Eastern Health Board region</i> . Kilkenny: South Eastern Health Board, 2004.	C
Cairns S, Sloman L, Newson C, Anable J, Kirkbride A, Goodwin P. <i>Smarter choices – changing the way we travel</i> . London: Department for Transport, 2004.	D
Carroll R, Ali N, Azam N. Promoting physical activity in South Asian Muslim women through 'exercise on prescription'. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> 2002;6(8).	ABC
Cavill N, Bauman A. Changing the way people think about health-enhancing physical activity: do mass media campaigns have a role? <i>J Sports Sci</i> 2004;22:771-790.	D
Cervero R, Tsai Y-H. <i>San Francisco City CarShare: travel demand trends and second-year impacts</i> . Berkeley, CA: Institute of Urban and Regional Development, University of California at Berkeley, 2003.	C
Chan C, Ryan D, Tudor-Locke C. Health benefits of a pedometer-based physical activity intervention in sedentary workers. <i>Prev Med</i> 2004;39:1215-1222.	B
Chen A, Sallis J, Castro C, Lee R, Hickmann S, William C, et al. A home-based behavioral intervention to promote walking in sedentary ethnic minority women: project WALK. <i>Womens Health</i> 1998;4:19-39.	B
Clarke A, Gordon S. The Walking Buddy Project: maximising adherence to physical activity. Australian Conference of Science and Medicine in Sport, Perth, 2001.	B
Clarke E. Why UK drivers drag their feet when it comes to sharing cars. <i>Traffic Eng Control</i> 2004;45:6-8.	ABC
Clarke K, Klohe D, Cai G, Proffitt M, Vorungati S, Milani T, et al. Promotion of physical activity in low-income women using pedometers. <i>FASEB J</i> 2003;17:A1146.	B
Cleroux J, Feldman R, Petrella R. Recommendations on physical exercise training. <i>CMAJ</i> 1999;160(9 Suppl):S21-28.	BC
Coleman K, Gonzalez E, Cooley T. An objective measure of reinforcement and its implications for exercise promotion in sedentary Hispanic and Anglo women. <i>Ann Behav</i> 2000;22:229-236.	ABC
Coleman K, Gonzalez E. Promoting stair use in a US-Mexico border community. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 2001;91:2007-2009.	B
Coleman K, Raynor H, Mueller D, Cerny F, Dorn J, Epstein L. Providing sedentary adults with choices for meeting their walking goals. <i>Prev Med</i> 1999;28:510-519.	B
Collins R, Lee R, Albright C, King A. Ready to be physically active? The effects of a course preparing low-income multiethnic women to be more physically active. <i>Health Educ Behav</i> 2004;31:47-64.	B
<i>Communicating environmentally sustainable transport: the role of soft measures</i> . Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2004.	D
Conn V, Isaramalai S, Banks-Wallace J, Ulbrich S, Cochran J. Evidence-based interventions to increase physical activity among older adults. <i>Activ Adapt Aging</i> 2002;27:39-52.	D
Conn V, Minor M, Burks K, Rantz M, Pomeroy S. Integrative review of physical activity intervention research with aging adults. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2003;51:1159-1168.	D
Coogan, M. The application of the theory of planned behavior to residential location and modal choice. 3rd International Conference of Traffic and Transport Psychology, Nottingham, 2004.	ABC
Cope A, Cairns S, Fox K, Lawlor D, Lockie M, Lumsdon L, et al. The UK National Cycle Network: an assessment of the benefits of a sustainable transport infrastructure. <i>World Transport Policy Pract</i> 2003;9:6-17.	BC
Crosby J. Walking for health. <i>Postgrad Med</i> 1993;93:199.	ABC

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Croteau K. A preliminary study on the impact of a pedometer-based intervention on daily steps. <i>Am J Health Promot</i> 2004;18:217-220.	B
Croteau K. Effects of a pedometer-based intervention on physical activity and BMI. <i>Res Q Exerc Sport</i> 2003;74(1 Suppl):A5.	B
Croteau K. Strategies used to increase lifestyle physical activity in a pedometer-based intervention. <i>J Allied Health</i> 2004;33:278-281.	BC
Csuy J, Buettner L. Effects of a pedometer-based program on older adults physical activity and mobility. <i>J Aging Phys Act</i> 2004;12:317.	B
Currie J, Develin E. The Strollers Pramwalking Program: a community intervention aimed at increasing the physical activity level of mothers with young children. <i>Health Promot J Aust</i> 2000;10:57-59.	BC
Currie J. Pramwalking as postnatal exercise and support: an evaluation of the Stroll Your Way To Well-Being program and supporting resources in terms of individual participation rates and community group formation. <i>Aust J Midwifery</i> 2001;14:21-25.	BC
Cyarto E, Moorhead G, Brown W. Updating the evidence relating to physical activity intervention studies in older people. <i>J Sci Med Sport</i> 2004;7(Suppl 1):30-38.	D
Dale D, Corbin C. Physical activity participation of high school graduates following exposure to conceptual or traditional physical education. <i>Res Q Exerc Sport</i> 2000;71:61-68.	C
Davey R, Cochrane T. General practice community-based exercise programmes for sedentary adults over 65 years of age. <i>J Sports Sci</i> 1998;16:18.	C
Davis G. Measuring adherence to a women's walking program: commentary by Davis. <i>West J Nurs Res</i> 2001;23:24-26.	ABC
De Blok B, de Greef M, ten Hacken N, Sprenger S, Postema K, Wempe J. The effects of a lifestyle physical activity counseling program with feedback of a pedometer during pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with COPD: a pilot study. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 2006;61:48-55.	B
DiGiuseppi C, Roberts I, Li L, Allen D. Determinants of car travel on daily journeys to school: cross sectional survey of primary school children. <i>BMJ</i> 1998;316:1426-1428.	AB
Dinger M, Heesch K, McClary K. Feasibility of a minimal contact intervention to promote walking among insufficiently active women. <i>Am J Health Promot</i> 2005;20:2-6.	B
Dobbins M. <i>The effectiveness of school-based interventions in promoting physical activity and fitness among children and youth: a systematic review</i> . Hamilton, Ontario: Effective Public Health Practice Project, City of Hamilton Social & Public Health Services, 2004.	D
Dubbert P, Cooper K, Kirchner K, Meydrech E, Bilbrew D. Effects of nurse counseling on walking for exercise in elderly primary care patients. <i>J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci</i> 2002;57A:M733-740.	B
Duncan J, Gordon N, Scott C. Women walking for health and fitness. How much is enough? <i>JAMA</i> 1991;266:3295-3299.	AC
Dunn A, Andersen R, Jakicic J. Lifestyle physical activity interventions: history, short- and long-term effects, and recommendations. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 1998;15:398-412.	D
DuVall C, Dinger M, Taylor E, Bembem D. Minimal-contact physical activity interventions in women: a pilot study. <i>Am J Health Behav</i> 2004;28:280-286.	C
Eastep E, Beveridge S, Eisenman P, Ransdell L, Shultz B. Does augmented feedback from pedometers increase adults' walking behavior? <i>Percept Mot Skills</i> 2004;99:392-402.	B
Eaton C, Menard L. A systematic review of physical activity promotion in primary care office settings. <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 1998;32:11-16.	D
Ebrahim S, Smith D. Systematic review of randomized controlled trials of multiple risk factor interventions for preventing coronary heart disease. <i>BMJ</i> 1997;316:1666-1674.	D
Eden K, Orleans T, Mulrow C, Pender N, Teutsch S. Does counselling by clinicians improve physical activity? A summary for the US Prevention Services Task Force. <i>Ann Intern Med</i> 2002;137:208-215.	D
Egawa K, Oida Y, Arai T, Matsuzuki H, Shirako M. Feasibility and effectiveness of exercise behavior self-monitoring applicable to the prevention of lifestyle-related diseases in community-based health service. <i>Bull Phys Fitness Res Inst</i> 2005;103:10-23.	B
Ekkekakis P, Hall E, Van Landuyt L, Petruzzello S. Walking in (affective) circles: can short walks enhance affect? <i>J Behav Med</i> 2000;23:245-275.	AC

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Ekkekakis P, Petruzzello S. Acute aerobic exercise and affect: current status, problems and prospects regarding dose-response. <i>Sports Med</i> 1999;28:337-374.	ABC
Elward K, Larson E. Benefits of exercise for older adults. A review of existing evidence and current recommendations for the general population. <i>Clin Geriatr Med</i> 1992;8:35-50.	ABC
Engbers L, van Poppel M, Paw M, van Mechelen W. Worksite health promotion programs with environmental changes — a systematic review. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 2005;29:61-70.	D
Epstein L, Coleman K, Myers M. Exercise in treating obesity in children and adolescents. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc</i> 1996;28:428-435.	D
Erlachman J, Kerbey A, James W. Physical activity and its impact on health outcomes. Paper 2: prevention of unhealthy weight gain and obesity by physical activity: an analysis of the evidence. <i>Obes Rev</i> 2002;3:273-287.	ABC
Estabrooks P, Bradshaw M, Fox E, Berg J, Dzewaltowski D. The relationships between delivery agents' physical activity level and the likelihood of implementing a physical activity program. <i>Am J Health Promot</i> 2004;18:350-353.	BC
<i>Evaluation of 26 Australian TravelSmart projects in the ACT, South Australia, Queensland, Victoria and Western Australia 2001-2005</i> . Canberra: Australian Greenhouse Office, Department of the Environment and Heritage, 2005.	D
Evenson K, Herring A, Huston S. Evaluating change in physical activity with the building of a multi-use trail. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 2005;28(2 Suppl 2):177-185.	B
Ewing Garber C, Lazar I, Lapane K, Hall J, Rose S, Greene K, et al. Slit Path to Health walking routes in Rhode Island: evaluation of a community-based physical activity environmental change program: preliminary work. 'Australia: Walking the 21st Century' conference, Perth, 2001.	BC
Fahrenwald N, Atwood J, Johnson D. Mediator analysis of Moms on the Move. <i>West J Nurs Res</i> 2005;27:271-291.	C
Falconio A, Cama G, Bazzano G. The effects of four months walking program perceived mild-to-moderate in older adults. <i>Med Sport (Roma)</i> 1995;48:469-474.	AC
Faskunger J, Poortvliet E, Nylund K, Rossen J. Effect of an environmental barrier to physical activity on commuter stair use. <i>Scand J Nutr</i> 2003;47:26-28.	B
Finlay S, Faulkner G. Physical activity promotion through the mass media: inception, production, transmission and consumption. <i>Prev Med</i> 2005;40:121-130.	D
Fisher K, Ritchie C, Abernethy P, Hutchins C, Ford C, Miller R. Just Walk It: enhancing community participation in physical activity. <i>Health Promot J Aust</i> 1998;8:140-144.	BC
Fitzner K, Madison M, Caputo N, Brown E, French M, Bondi M, et al. Promoting physical activity: a profile of health plan programs and initiatives. <i>Manag Care Interface</i> 2002;15:29-41.	D
Fogelholm M, Kukkonen-Harjula K. Does physical activity prevent weight gain: a systematic review. <i>Obes Rev</i> 2000;1:95-111.	D
Fogelholm M, Lahti-Koski M. Community health-promotion interventions with physical activity: does this approach prevent obesity? <i>Scand J Nutr</i> 2002;46:173-177.	D
Foreyt J, Goodrick G, Reeves R, Raynaud A, Darnell L, Brown A, et al. Response of free-living adults to behavioral treatment of obesity: attrition and compliance to exercise. <i>Behav Ther</i> 1993;24:659-669.	C
Foster C, Hillsdon M. Changing the environment to promote health-enhancing physical activity. <i>J Sports Sci</i> 2004;22:755-69.	D
Fowler B, Jamrozik K, Norman P, Allen Y, Wilkinson E. Improving maximum walking distance in early peripheral arterial disease: randomised controlled trial. <i>Aust J Physiother</i> 2002;48:269-275.	C
Fox A, Thompson J, Butterfield G, Gylfadottir U, Moynihan S, Spiller G. Effects of diet and exercise on common cardiovascular disease risk factors in moderately obese older women. <i>Am J Clin Nutr</i> 1996;63:225-233.	AC
Francis L. Integrating a school walk/jog program with other classroom subjects. <i>J Phys Educ Recreation Dance</i> 1993;64(6):12-13.	ABC
Frändin K, Johannesson K, Grimby G. Physical activity as part of an intervention program for elderly persons in Göteborg. <i>Scand J Med Sci Sports</i> 1992;2:218-224.	F

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Frandin K, Sonn U, Johannesson K, Eriksson B. Promenera mera: promenad gruppsintervention i Göteborg. <i>Tidskr Gymnastik</i> 1992;119:27-32.	F
Frank L, Engelke P. The built environment and human activity patterns: exploring the impacts of urban form on public health. <i>J Plan Lit</i> 2001;16:202-218.	D
Friedenreich C, Courneya K. Exercise as rehabilitation for cancer patients. <i>Clin J Sport Med</i> 1996;6:237-244.	ABC
Friedman R. Automated telephone conversations to assess health behavior and deliver behavioral interventions. <i>J Med Syst</i> 1998;22:95-102.	D
Gaesser G. On the move: pedometer-based walking initiative encourages physical activity. <i>Sports Med Digest</i> 2003;25:90-92.	ABC
Gammie F. <i>Personalised marketing demonstration study for Birkenhead, Auckland, New Zealand</i> . Transfund New Zealand Research Report 2003;246(32).	B
Glazener H, DeVoe D, Nelson T, Gotshall R. Changes in physical activity influenced by using a pedometer. <i>J Hum Mov Stud</i> 2004;46:473-482.	B
Glazener H, DeVoe D, Nelson T, Gotshall R. Changes in physical activity after using a pedometer. <i>Res Q Exerc Sport</i> 2003;74:A6.	B
GOAL – Gesund ohne Auto und Lärm: final report. www.goal-graz.at/en (accessed 5 December 2005).	BC
Goodrick G, Malek J, Foreyt J. Exercise adherence in the obese: self-regulated intensity. <i>Med Exerc Nutr Health</i> 1994;3:335-338.	C
Gordon P, Zizzi S, Pauline J. Use of a community trail among new and habitual exercisers: a preliminary assessment. <i>Prev Chronic Dis</i> 2004;1:1-11.	B
Grant S, Dargie H. Taking action for a healthier Glasgow. <i>Hosp Update</i> 1995;21:468-469.	ABC
Grassi K, Gonzalez G, Tello P, He G. La Vida Caminando: a community-based physical activity program designed by and for rural Latino families. <i>J Health Educ</i> 1999;30(2):S13-17.	B
Graves K, Cooke C. The effects of an 8 week walking programme on selected fitness and physical activity variables in sedentary adults. <i>J Sports Sci</i> 1993;12:138-139.	C
Haber D, Looney C, Babola K, Hinman M, Utsey C. Impact of a health promotion course on inactive, overweight, or physically limited older adults. <i>Fam Community Health</i> 2000;22:48-56.	B
Halbert J, Silagy C, Finucane P, Withers R, Hamdorf P, Andrews G. The effectiveness of exercise training in lowering blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials of 4 weeks or longer. <i>J Hum Hypertens</i> 1997;11:641-649.	ABC
Hallmark J, DeVoe D, Gotshall R, Adams E. Use of a pedometer and goal setting to effect changes in physical activity [unpublished manuscript].	B
Hallmark J, DeVoe D, Gotshall R, Nelson A. Use of a pedometer and goal setting to effect changes in physical activity. <i>Res Q Exerc Sport</i> 2005;76:A28-29.	B
Hamdorf P, Penhall R. Walking with its training effects on the fitness and activity patterns of 79-91 year old females. <i>Aust NZ J Med</i> 1999;29:22-28.	AC
Hamdorf P, Withers R, Penhall R, Haslam M. Physical training effects on the fitness and habitual activity patterns of elderly women. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 1992;73:603-608.	AC
Hamdorf P, Withers R, Penhall R, Plummer J. A follow-up study on the effects of training on the fitness and habitual activity patterns of 60- to 70-year-old women. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 1993;74:473-477.	AC
Händel M, Iversen M, Jensen E, Frederiksen P, Heitmann B. Betydningen af en sundhedsfremmende plakat for valg af trappe- og rulletrappebrug på to S-togs-stationer i København. <i>Ugeskr Laeger</i> 2005;167:1160-1163.	B
Hamirattisai T. Effectiveness of a behavioral change intervention in Thai elders after knee replacement. <i>Nurs Res</i> 2003;54:97-107.	A
Hassmen P, Ceci R, Backman L. Exercise for older women — a training method and its influences on physical and cognitive performance. <i>Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol</i> 1992;64:460-466.	C
Hatano Y. Use of the pedometer for promoting daily walking exercise. <i>J Int Counc Health Phys Educ Recreation</i> 1993;29:4-8.	D

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Hillsdon M, Thorogood M, Anstiss T, Morris J. Randomised controlled trials of physical activity promotion in free living populations: a review. <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> 1995;49:448-453.	D
Hillsdon M, Thorogood M, White I, Foster C. Advising people to take more exercise is ineffective: a randomized controlled trial of physical activity promotion in primary care. <i>Int J Epidemiol</i> 2002;31:808-815.	C
Hillsdon M, Thorogood M. A systematic review of physical activity promotion strategies. <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 1996;30:84-89.	D
Hillsdon M. A randomised controlled trial of physical activity promotion in primary care [PhD thesis]. London: University of London, 2000.	C
Hjalmarson A, Rossner S, Ostenson C. Supervised physical activity in Sweden: in theory and practice. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 2000;39:281-284.	ABC
Hope S. <i>Monitoring and evaluation of the Edinburgh city car club</i> . Edinburgh: Scottish Executive Central Research Unit, 2001.	B
Hovell M, Hofstetter C, Sallis J, Rauh M, Barrington E. Correlates of change in walking for exercise: an exploratory analysis. <i>Res Q Exerc Sport</i> 1992;63:425-434.	AB
Hubsmith D, Kallins W, Staunton C. Promoting safe walking and biking to school: the Marin county success story. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 2003;93:1431-1434.	B
Hughes S, Seymour R, Campbell R, Pollak N, Huber G, Sharma L. Impact of the Fit and Strong Intervention in older adults with osteoarthritis. <i>Gerontologist</i> 2004;44:217-228.	AC
Hultquist C, Albright C, Thompson D. Comparison of walking recommendations in previously inactive women. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc</i> 2005;37:676-683.	AB
Humpel N, Marshall A, Iverson D, Leslie E, Owen N. Trial of print and telephone delivered interventions to influence walking. <i>Prev Med</i> 2004;39:635-641.	B
Increasing physical activity. A report on recommendations of the Task Force on Community Preventive Services. <i>MMWR Recomm Rep</i> 2001;50(RR-18):1-14.	E
Irwin M, Yasui Y, Ulrich C, Bowen D, Rudolph R, Schwartz R, et al. Effect of exercise on total and intra-abdominal body fat in postmenopausal women — a randomized controlled trial. <i>JAMA</i> 2003;289:323-330.	C
Jacobsen D, Donnelly J, Snyder-Heelan K, Livingston K. Adherence and attrition with intermittent and continuous exercise in overweight women. <i>Int J Sports Med</i> 2003;24:459-464.	B
Jago R, Baranowski T. Non-curricular approaches for increasing physical activity in youth: a review. <i>Prev Med</i> 2004;39:157-63.	D
Jeffery R, Wing R, Thorson C, Burton L. Use of personal trainers and financial incentives to increase exercise in a behavioral weight-loss program. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> 1998;66:777-783.	B
John G, Graham C. The evolution of TravelSmart individualised marketing in Perth as an effective step towards a liveable city. www.dpi.wa.gov.au/travelsmart (accessed 6 December 2005).	E
John G. The effectiveness of the TravelSmart individualised marketing program for increasing walking trips in Perth. <i>Road Transport Res</i> 2001;1:17-25.	E
Jones P, Evers T, Bray J, Georgeson N, Powell T, Paris J, et al. The Jubilee Line extension impact study: main findings and lessons learnt. <i>Proceedings of the European Transport Conference 2004, 4-6 October, Strasbourg, France</i> . London: Association for European Transport, 2004.	BC
Jones P, Sloman L. Encouraging behavioural change through marketing and management: what can be achieved? 10th International Conference on Travel Behaviour Research, Lucerne, 2003.	D
Joshi M, Senior V. Journey to work: the potential for modal shift? <i>Health Educ J</i> 1998; 57:212-223.	ABC
Juhn M. Best of the literature. Pushing people to walk to work. <i>Phys Sportsmed</i> 2002;30:11.	ABC
Kahn E, Ramsey L, Brownson R, Heath G, Howze E, Powell K, et al. The effectiveness of interventions to increase physical activity: a systematic review. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 2002;22(Suppl4):73-106.	D
Kanbayashi H, Tsushimam E, Tsushimam H, Hasegawam T, Odam A, Kanazawam Y, et al. Physical fitness of the elderly improved by a community based walking program. 5th International Congress of Asian Society for Adapted Physical Education and Exercise, Tsukuba, 1998.	B
Kelley G. Effects of aerobic exercise in normotensive adults: a brief meta-analytic review of controlled clinical trials. <i>Southern Med J</i> 1995;88:42-46.	C

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Kelley G. Effects of aerobic exercise on ambulatory blood pressure: a meta-analysis. <i>Sports Med Train Rehabil</i> 1996;7:115-131.	ABC
Ker I, James B. <i>Evaluating behaviour change in transport: benefit cost analysis of individualised marketing for the city of South Perth</i> . Perth: Department of Transport, Government of Western Australia, 1999.	BC
Kerr J, Eves F, Carroll D. Can posters prompt stair use in a worksite environment? <i>J Occup Health</i> 2001;43:205-207.	B
Kerr J, Eves F, Carroll D. Encouraging stair use: stair-riser banners are better than posters. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 2001;91:1192-1193.	B
Kerr J, Eves F, Carroll D. Posters can prompt less active people to use the stairs. <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> 2000;54:942.	B
Kerr J, Eves F, Carroll D. Six-month observational study of prompted stair climbing. <i>Prev Med</i> 2001;33:422-427.	B
Kerr J, Eves F, Carroll D. The influence of poster prompts on stair use: the effects of setting, poster size and content. <i>Br J Health Psychol</i> 2001;6:397-405.	B
Kerr J, McKenna J. A randomized control trial of new tailored walking campaigns in an employee sample. <i>J Health Commun</i> 2000;5:265-79.	C
Killingsworth R, Schmid T. Community design and transportation policies — new ways to promote physical activity. <i>Phys Sportsmed</i> 2001;29(2):31.	ABC
King A, Baumann K, O'Sullivan P, Wilcox S, Castro C. Effects of moderate-intensity exercise on physiological, behavioral, and emotional responses to family caregiving: a randomized controlled trial. <i>J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci</i> 2002;57A:M26-36.	C
King A, Pruitt L, Phillips W, Oka R, Rodenburg A, Haskell W. Comparative effects of two physical activity programs on measured and perceived physical functioning and other health-related quality of life outcomes in older adults. <i>J Gerontol</i> 2000;55A:M74-83.	B
King A, Rejeski W, Buchner D. Physical activity interventions targeting older adults. A critical review and recommendations. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 1998;15:316-333.	D
Kitabatake Y, Oida Y, Arao T, Nagamatsu T, Kohno H, Egawa K, et al. Effect of longitudinal intervention on functional fitness in elderly people. <i>Bull Phys Fitness Res Inst</i> 2002;100:21-30.	C
Klomp H, Dyck R, Sheppard S. Description and evaluation of a prenatal exercise program for urban Aboriginal women. <i>Can J Diabetes</i> 2003;27:231-238.	BC
Kohno H, Egawa K, Oida Y, Nagamatsu T, Kitabatake Y, Maie H, et al. Effect of functional fitness promotion program for community-dwelling elderly person using the transtheoretical model. <i>Bull Phys Fitness Res Inst</i> 2002;100:11-20.	C
Konheim C, Ketcham B. Effective transit requires walkable communities — land use lessons of transport patterns in four world cities. <i>Transport Res Rec</i> 2000;1722:56-66.	ABC
Konradi D, Lyon B. Measuring adherence to a self-care fitness walking routine. <i>J Commun Health Nurs</i> 2000;17:159-169.	B
Kovar P, Allegrante J, MacKenzie C, Peterson M, Gutin B, Charlson M. Supervised fitness walking in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized, controlled trial. <i>Ann Intern Med</i> 1992;116:529-534.	C
Kunimitsuka K, Yamatsu K, Adachi Y. A correspondence behavioral approach for six lifestyle improvements in a workplace. <i>Japan J Public Health</i> 2002;49:525-534.	B
Kurosawa Y, Kato T, Hosoda T, Morita H, Ohshiro H, Nose T. Study on the effects of walking on functional fitness in aged patients with the hand-arm vibration syndrome. <i>Japan J Public Health</i> 2001;48:897-902.	AC
La Cour Lund B, Nilson P. Best practice to promote and secure cycling and walking. Velo-City Conference, Graz, Austria & Maribor, Slovenia, 1999.	ABC
Lamb S, Bartlett H, Ashley A, Bird W. Can lay-led walking programmes increase physical activity in middle aged adults? A randomised controlled trial. <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> 2002;56:246-252.	C
Lauzon N, Tudor-Locke C, Myers A, Rodger N, Bell R, Chan C, et al. Increased physical activity and improved health measures with a pedometer-based physical activity intervention for type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> 2003;52:A236.	B
Lawlor D, Hanratty B. The effect of physical activity advice given in routine primary care consultations: a systematic review. <i>J Public Health Med</i> 2001;23:219-226.	D

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Lawlor D, Ness A, Cope A, Davis A, Insall P, Riddoch C. The challenges of evaluating environmental interventions to increase population levels of physical activity: the case of the UK National Cycle Network. <i>J Epidemiol Community Health</i> 2003;57:96-101.	ABC
Le Masurier G, Sidman C, Corbin C. Accumulating 10,000 steps: does this meet current physical activity guidelines? <i>Res Q Exerc Sport</i> 2003;74:389-394.	AB
Leaf D, Reuben D. "Lifestyle" interventions for promoting physical activity: a kilocalorie expenditure-based home feasibility study. <i>Am J Med Sci</i> 1996;312:68-75.	B
Lee C, Vernez Moudon A. Physical activity and environment research in the health field: implications for urban and transportation planning practice and research. <i>J Plan Lit</i> 2004;19:147-181.	D
Lee C, White S. Controlled trial of a minimal-intervention exercise program for middle-aged working women. <i>Psychol Health</i> 1997;12:361-374.	C
Lee R, Goldberg J, Sallis J, Hickmann S, Castro C, Chen A. A prospective analysis of the relationship between walking and mood in sedentary ethnic minority women. <i>Womens Health</i> 2001;32:1-15.	AB
Lee R, McGinnis K, Sallis J, Castro C, Chen A, Hickmann S. Active vs. passive methods of recruiting ethnic minority women to a health promotion program. <i>Ann Behav Med</i> 1997;19:378-84.	AB
Lee S, Yoo J. The effects of a physical activity reinforcement program on exercise compliance, depression, and anxiety in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients. <i>J Korean Acad Nurs</i> 2004;34:440-448.	C
Leermakers E, Perri M, Shigaki C, Fuller P. Effects of exercise-focused versus weight-focused maintenance programs on the management of obesity. <i>Addict Behav</i> 1999;24:219-227.	C
Lenamond S, Franckowiak S, Zuzak K, Cummings E, Crespo C, Andersen R. A community intervention to promote stair use among African American commuters across the age spectrum. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2001;49:S50.	B
Lengfelder W. Körperliche Inaktivität: zu beeinflussender Risikofaktor in der primären Prävention? <i>Med Klin</i> 2001;96:661-669.	D
Levin S, Gans K, Carleton R, Bucknam L. The evolution of a physical activity campaign. <i>Fam Community Health</i> 1998;21:65-77.	BC
Lew R, Chau J, Woo J, Nguyen K, Okahara L, Min K, et al. Annual walkathons as a community education strategy for the Asian American/Pacific Islander populations in Alameda County, California. <i>J Health Educ</i> 1999;30:S25-30.	BC
Librett J, Yore M, Schmid T. Local ordinances that promote physical activity: a survey of municipal policies. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 2003;93:1399-1403.	ABC
Lindberg R. Active living: on the road with the 10,000 Steps program. <i>J Am Diet Assoc</i> 2000;100:878-879.	B
Linnan L, Marcus B. Worksite-based physical activity programs and older adults: current status and priorities for the future. <i>J Aging Phys Act</i> 2001;9:S59-70.	ABC
Little P, Dorward M, Gralton S, Hammerton L, Pillinger J, White P, et al. A randomised controlled trial of three pragmatic approaches to initiate increased physical activity in sedentary patients with risk factors for cardiovascular disease. <i>Br J Gen Pract</i> 2004;54:189-195.	C
Locke-Tudor C, Myers A, Bell R, Rodger N, Chan C, McCargar L, et al. Impact of professional vs. peer-led pedometer-based program. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc</i> 2004;36:S242.	B
Lombard D, Neubauer Lombard T, Winett R. Walking to meet health guidelines: the effect of prompting frequency and prompt structure. <i>Health Psychol</i> 1995;14:164-170.	B
Loughlan C. Increasing health related physical activity in previously sedentary adults: a comparison of fitness testing and exercise consultation [PhD thesis]. Glasgow: University of Glasgow, 1995.	C
Macdonald A, Tudor-Locke C, Chan C. One year follow-up of a pedometer-based physical activity intervention. <i>Obes Res</i> 2004;12:A148.	B
Macintyre S. The social patterning of exercise behaviours: the role of personal and local resources. <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 2000;34:6.	ABC
MacRae P, Asplund L, Schnelle J, Ouslander J, Abrahams A. Walking program for nursing home residents: effects on walk endurance, physical activity, mobility, and quality of life. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 1996;44:175-180.	AC
Maddison R, Prapavessis H. Using self-efficacy and intention to predict exercise compliance among patients with ischemic heart disease. <i>J Sport Exerc Psychol</i> 2004;26:511-524.	B

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Mangham C, Viscount P. Along the boardwalk: effects of a boardwalk on walking behaviour within a Nova Scotia community. <i>Can J Public Health</i> 1997;88:325-326.	AB
Marshall A, Bauman A, Patch C, Wilson J, Chen J. Can motivational signs prompt increases in incidental physical activity in an Australian health-care facility? <i>Health Educ Res</i> 2002;17:743-749.	B
Mason C. Transport and health: en route to a healthier Australia? <i>Med J Aust</i> 2000;172:230-232.	ABC
Matson-Koffman D, Brownstein J, Neiner J, Greaney M. A site-specific literature review of policy and environmental interventions that promote physical activity and nutrition for cardiovascular health: what works? <i>Am J Health Promot</i> 2005;19:167-193.	D
Maxwell A, Bastani R, Vida P, Warda U. Physical activity among older Filipino-American women. <i>Women Health</i> 2002;36:67-79.	B
Maziekas M, LeMura L, Stoddard N, Kaercher S, Martucci T. Follow up exercise studies in paediatric obesity: implications for long term effectiveness. <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 2003;37:425-429.	D
McAuley E, Courneya K, Rudolph D, Lox C. Enhancing exercise adherence in middle-aged males and females. <i>Prev Med</i> 1994;23:498-506.	B
McAuley E, Jerome G, Marquez D, Elavsky S, Blissmer B. Exercise self-efficacy in older adults: social, affective, and behavioral influences. <i>Ann Behav Med</i> 2003;25:1-7.	ABC
McAuley E, Lox C, Duncan T. Long-term maintenance of exercise, self-efficacy, and physiological change in older adults. <i>J Gerontol</i> 1993;48:218-224.	BC
Merom D, Bauman A, Vita P, Close G. An environmental intervention to promote walking and cycling — the impact of a newly constructed rail trail in Western Sydney. <i>Prev Med</i> 2003;36:235-242.	B
Merom D, Miller Y, Lymer S, Bauman A. Effect of Australia's Walk to Work Day campaign on adults' active commuting and physical activity behavior. <i>Am J Health Promot</i> 2005;19:159-162.	B
Michaud-Tomson L, Davidson M, Cuddihy T. Walk to school — does it make a difference in children's physical activity levels? <i>ACHPER Healthy Lifestyles J</i> 2003;50(3-4):16-24.	B
Micucci S, Thomas H, Vohra J. <i>The effectiveness of school-based strategies for the primary prevention of obesity and for promoting physical activity and/or nutrition, the major modifiable risk factors for type 2 diabetes: a review of reviews</i> . Hamilton, Ontario: Effective Public Health Practice Project, City of Hamilton Social & Public Health Services, 2002.	D
Milligan R. The effects of a walking program on a nursing home population. <i>Minnesota Med</i> 1992;75(3):7.	ABC
Miyatake N, Nishikawa H, Morishita A, Kunitomi M, Wada J, Suzuki H, et al. Daily walking reduces visceral adipose tissue areas and improves insulin resistance in Japanese obese subjects. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i> 2002;58:101-107.	B
Miyatake N, Takahashi K, Wada J, Nishikawa H, Morishita A, Suzuki H, et al. Daily exercise lowers blood pressure and reduces visceral adipose tissue areas in overweight Japanese men. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i> 2003;62:149-157.	B
Mokhtarian P, Varma K. The trade-off between trips and distance traveled in analyzing the emissions impacts of center-based telecommuting. <i>Transport Res D</i> 1998;11:419-28.	BC
Morgan O. Approaches to increase physical activity: reviewing the evidence for exercise-referral schemes. <i>Public Health</i> 2005;119:361-370.	D
Moriarty P, Kennedy D. Voluntary change of travel behaviour: an Australian case study. www.transguide.org (accessed 9 December 2005).	C
Morris C, Froelicher V. Cardiovascular benefits of improved exercise capacity. <i>Sports Med</i> 1993;16:225-236.	ABC
Murphy M, Nevill A, Neville C, Biddle S, Hardman A. Accumulating brisk walking for fitness, cardiovascular risk, and psychological health. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc</i> 2002;34:1468-1474.	C
Murtagh E, Boreham C, Nevill A, Hare L, Murphy M. The effects of 60 minutes of brisk walking per week, accumulated in two different patterns, on cardiovascular risk. <i>Prev Med</i> 2005;41:92-97.	AC
Mutrie N, Baker G, Lowry R. The effectiveness of pedometers as motivational tools in both the short and the long-term [unpublished manuscript].	B
Mutrie N, Blamey A, Aitchison T. Why do fewer women choose stairs? <i>J Sports Sci</i> 1996;14:16-17.	B
Mutrie N, Blamey A. Encouraging stair walking. <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 2000;34:144.	AB

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Mutrie N, Carney C, Blamey A, Whitelaw A, Crawford F, Aitchison T. Can active commuting increase quality of life? Three-month results from a randomized control trial. <i>J Sports Sci</i> 2000;18:18-19.	C
Myslivecek P, Brown C, Wolfe L. Effects of physical conditioning on cardiac autonomic function in healthy middle-aged women. <i>Can J Appl Physiol</i> 2002;27:1-18.	C
Naylor P, Howe B. Exercise adherence in obese women: evaluation of two intervention strategies. <i>J Sports Sci</i> 1992;10:624-625.	AB
Newton R, Perri M. A randomized pilot trial of exercise promotion in sedentary African-American adults. <i>Ethnicity Dis</i> 2004;14:548-557.	C
Nguyen M, Gauvin L, Martineau I, Grignon R. Sustainability of the impact of a public health intervention: lessons learned from the Laval Walking Clubs Experience. <i>Health Promot Pract</i> 2005;6:44-52.	ABC
Nies M, Chruscial H. Neighborhood and physical activity outcomes in women: regional comparisons. <i>Nurs Clin North Am</i> 2002;37:295-301.	C
Nies M, Reisenberg C, Chruscial H, Artibee K. Southern women's response to a walking intervention. <i>Public Health Nurs</i> 2003;20:146-152.	C
Nishikawa H, Takahashi K, Miyatake N, Morishita A, Suzuki H, Tanaka T, et al. Evaluation of an exercise program for obese males. <i>Japan J Public Health</i> 2002;49:1087-1096.	B
O'Connor L, Sacajiu G. Increasing daily physical activity among patients in the South Bronx by utilizing exercise counseling and pedometers. <i>J Gen Intern Med</i> 2002;17:146.	BC
Ogasawara M, Ito H, Yanagawa M, Fujitani J, Ohto N, Tuzuki M, et al. Questionnaire research of the participants in a large scale walking event Fukuoka City analysis of walking habits and related factors. <i>Japan J Public Health</i> 2001;48:868-874.	AB
Ogilvie D, Egan M, Hamilton V, Petticrew M. Promoting walking and cycling as an alternative to using cars: systematic review. <i>BMJ</i> 2004;329:763-766.	D
Oja P, Vuori I, Paronen O. Daily walking and cycling to work: their utility as health-enhancing physical activity. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 1998;33(1 Suppl):S87-94.	BC
Orsega-Smith E. Exercise and lymphocyte activation following chemotherapy for breast cancer. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc</i> 2005;37:1827-1835.	C
Orti E, Donaghy M. A cognitive-behavioural intervention to increase adherence of adult women exercisers. <i>Adv Physiother</i> 2004;6:84-92.	C
Osborne P. Safe routes for children: what they want and what works. <i>Child Youth Environ</i> 2005;15:234-239.	BC
Owen N, Bauman A, Booth M, Oldenburg B, et al. Serial mass-media campaigns to promote physical activity: reinforcing or redundant? <i>Am J Public Health</i> 1995;85:244-248.	B
Owen N, Humpel N, Leslie E, Bauman A, Sallis J. Understanding environmental influences on walking: review and research agenda. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 2004;27:67-76.	D
Paillard T, Lafont C, Costes-Salon M, Rivière D, Dupui P. Effects of brisk walking on static and dynamic balance, locomotion, body composition, and aerobic capacity in ageing healthy active men. <i>Int J Sports Med</i> 2004;25:539-546.	C
Pangrazi R. Pedometer applications for increasing the physical activity levels of youth. <i>Res Q Exerc Sport</i> 2005;76:A14.	ABC
Perkins A. Household-focused travel behaviour change initiatives — critical new tools in travel demand management. <i>World Transport Policy Pract</i> 2002;8:31-38.	ABC
Perri M, Anton S, Durning P, Ketterson T, Sydeman S, Berlant N, et al. Adherence to exercise prescriptions: effects of prescribing moderate versus higher levels of intensity and frequency. <i>Health Psychol</i> 2002;21:452-458.	B
Perri M, Martin A, Leermakers E, Sears S, Notelovitz M. Effects of group- versus home-based exercise in the treatment of obesity. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> 1997;65:278-285.	B
<i>Personalised travel planning: evaluation of fourteen pilots part funded by DfT</i> . London: Department for Transport, 2005.	D
Pescatello L, Franklin B, Fagard R, Farquhar W, Kelley G, Ray C. Exercise and hypertension. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc</i> 2004;36:533-553.	ABC

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Petrella R, Lattanzio C. Does counselling help patients get active? Systematic review of the literature. <i>Can Fam Phys</i> 2002;48:72-80.	D
Pfeiffer B, Clay S, Conatser R. Green prescription study: does written exercise prescribed by a physician result in increased physical activity among older adults? <i>J Aging Health</i> 2001;13:527-538.	B
Pickering K, Eakin E. Using pedometers to increase physical activity in a family planning clinic: a feasibility study. <i>Health Promot J Aust</i> 2003;14:165-170.	BC
Pickett M, Mock V, Ropka M, Cameron L, Coleman M, Podewils L. Adherence to moderate-intensity exercise during breast cancer therapy. <i>Cancer Pract</i> 2002;10:284-292.	C
Porter S, Eccleston P, Vilshanskaya O. Moving patients towards a more active lifestyle: the GP Physical Activity Project in South Eastern Sydney Area Health Service. <i>Health Promot J Aust</i> 2002;13:178-183.	B
Proper K, Koning M, van der Beek A, Hildebrandt V, Bosscher R, van Mechelen W. The effectiveness of worksite physical activity programs on physical activity, physical fitness, and health. <i>Clin J Sport Med</i> 2003;13:106-117.	D
Pucher J, Dijkstra L. Making walking and cycling safer: lessons from Europe. <i>Transport Q</i> 2000;54:25-50.	D
Pucher J. Urban transport in Germany: providing feasible alternatives to the car. <i>Transport Rev</i> 1998;18:285-310.	ABC
Radbone I, Hamnett S. Land use, walking and cycling: a review of recent research, Australian policies and suggestions for further work. Australasian Transport Research Forum, Wellington, 2003.	ABC
Radcliffe A. Striding for change: targeting deprived groups. <i>SportEX Health</i> 2001;10:22-23.	BC
Ramsay A. Evaluating pedestrianization schemes. In: Trench S, editor. <i>Current issues in planning</i> . Aldershot: Gower, 1990:53-67.	ABC
Ratliffe T, Bostick A. Encouraging physical activity beyond class time: running and walking clubs. <i>Teach Elementary Phys Ed</i> 2001;12:24-26.	ABC
Ready A, Bergeron G, Borenskie S, Naimark B, Ducas J, Sawatzky J, et al. Incidence and determinants of injuries sustained by older women during a walking program. <i>J Aging Phys Act</i> 1999;7:91-104.	ABC
Ready A. Walking program maintenance in women with elevated serum cholesterol. <i>Behav Med</i> 1996;22:23-31.	B
Reger-Nash B, Bauman A, Cooper L, Chey T, Simon K. Evaluating communitywide walking interventions. <i>Eval Program Plann</i> , in press.	F
Reger-Nash B, Fell P, Spicer D, Fisher B, Cooper L, Bauman A, et al. BC Walks: replication of a communitywide physical activity campaign. <i>Prev Chronic Dis</i> , in press.	F
Reisman A, Gross C. Increasing stair use. <i>Ann Intern Med</i> 1999;130:616-617.	ABC
Resnick B, Spellbring A. Understanding what motivates older adults to exercise. <i>J Gerontol Nurs</i> 2000;26:34-42.	AB
Resnick B. Testing the effect of the WALC intervention on exercise adherence in older adults. <i>J Gerontol Nurs</i> 2002;28:40-49.	C
Riddoch C, Puig-Ribera A, Cooper A. <i>Effectiveness of physical activity promotion schemes in primary care: a review</i> . London: Heath Education Authority, 1998.	D
Risser R. Wie koennen Radfahren und Zufussgehen attraktiver gestaltet werden ohne gleichzeitig Sicherheitsprobleme zu verursachen? Velo-City Conference, Graz, Austria & Maribor, Slovenia, 1999.	ABC
Rooney B, Smalley K, Larson J, Havens S. Is knowing enough? Increasing physical activity by wearing a pedometer. <i>WMJ</i> 2003;102:31-36.	BC
Rossi G, Moretti R, Pirone M, Locatelli W. Lotta alla sedentarietà: a scuola con il Piedibus. <i>Epidemiol Prev</i> 2004;28:346-349.	BC
Rowland R, Fisher K, Green M, Dunn A, Pickering M, Li F. Recruiting inactive older adults to a neighborhood walking trial: the SHAPE project. <i>J Aging Stud</i> 2004;18:353-368.	ABC
Russell W, Hutchinson J. Comparison of health promotion and deterrent, prompts in increasing use of stairs over escalators. <i>Percept Mot Skills</i> 2000;91:55-61.	B
Rye T, McGuigan D. <i>Green commuter plans: do they work?</i> Edinburgh: Scottish Executive, 2000.	BC

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Sallis J, Bauman A, Pratt M. Environmental and policy interventions to promote physical activity. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 1998;15:379-397.	D
Sallis J, Frank L, Saelens B, Kraft M. Active transportation and physical activity: opportunities for collaboration on transportation and public health research. <i>Transport Res A</i> 2004;38:249-268.	ABC
Schwanen T, Dijst M, Dieleman F. Policies for urban form and their impact on travel: the Netherlands experience. <i>Urban Stud</i> 2004;41:579-603.	BC
Serpell J. Beneficial effects of pet ownership on some aspects of human health and behaviour. <i>J Roy Soc Med</i> 1991;84:717-720.	A
Sharpe P, Connell C. Exercise beliefs and behaviors among older employees: a health promotion trial. <i>Gerontologist</i> 1992;32:444-449.	C
Sharpe P. Community-based physical activity intervention. <i>Arthritis Care Res</i> 2003;49:455-462.	D
Shephard R. What is the optimal type of physical activity to enhance health? <i>Br J Sports Med</i> 1997;31:277-284.	D
Sherman L. Walking for well being. <i>Prim Health Care</i> 2000;10:37-41.	B
Sidman C, Corbin C, Le Masurier G. Prompting physical activity among sedentary women using pedometers. <i>Res Q Exerc Sport</i> 2004;75:122-129.	B
Simons-Morton D, Calfas K, Oldenburg B, Burton N. Effects of interventions in health care settings on physical activity or cardiorespiratory fitness. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 1998;15:413-430.	D
Skinner J. Chronic fatigue syndrome: matching exercise to symptom fluctuations. <i>Phys Sportsmed</i> 2004;32:28-32.	ABC
Sly N, Mummery W, Humphries B, Reaburn P. Effects of environmental and health promotion interventions on the incidence of recreational walking in municipal parklands. 5th IOC World Congress on Sport Sciences, Sydney, 1999.	B
<i>Smart growth and transportation: issues and lessons learned</i> . Baltimore: Transportation Research Board, 2002.	D
Smith B, Merom D, Harris P, Bauman A. Do primary care interventions to promote physical activity work? A systematic review of the literature. Sydney: New South Wales Centre for Physical Activity and Health, 2002.	D
Smith R, Osterweil D. Recruitment and compliance to a walking program in institutionalized elderly women. <i>Clin Res</i> 1994;42:A99.	BC
Sommers J, Andres F, Price J. Perceptions of exercise of mall walkers utilizing the Health Belief Model. <i>J Health Educ</i> 1995;26:158-166.	AB
Speck B, Looney S. Effects of a minimal intervention to increase physical activity in women — daily activity records. <i>Nurs Res</i> 2001;50:374-378.	B
Staten L, Scheu L, Bronson D, Pena V, Elenes J. Pasos Adelante: the effectiveness of a community-based chronic disease prevention program. <i>Prev Chronic Dis</i> 2005;2:A18.	B
Stefanik M, Mackey S, Sheehan M, Ellsworth N, Haskell W, Wood P. Effects of diet and exercise in men and postmenopausal women with low levels of HDL cholesterol and high levels of LDL cholesterol. <i>New Engl J Med</i> 1998;339:12-20.	C
Steinhaus L, Dustman R, Ruhling R, Emmerson R, Johnson S, Shearer D, et al. Aerobic capacity of older adults: a training study. <i>J Sports Med Phys Fitness</i> 1990;30:163-172.	BC
<i>StepChange final report — year 1</i> . Edinburgh: Scottish Institute of Sustainable Technology, Heriot-Watt University, 2004.	C
<i>StepChange II final report — February 2005</i> . Edinburgh: Scottish Institute of Sustainable Technology, Heriot-Watt University, 2005.	C
Stewart J, Dennison D, Kohl H, Doyle J. Exercise level and energy expenditure in the TAKE 10! in-class physical activity program. <i>J Sch Health</i> 2004;74:397-400.	B
Stierand P. Wege neu organisieren! Beeinflussung der Verkehrsnachfrage durch Mobilitätsmanagement. Mehr Verkehrssicherheit Für Brandenburg Fachwerkstättenreihe zum Verkehrssicherheitsprogramm, Potsdam, 2001.	B
Stradling S, Anderson S. Unable or unwilling? Attitudes to modal shift in Scotland. www.transguide.org (accessed 9 December 2005).	ABC

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Stradling S, Changing individual travel behaviour: from policy to perceived behavioural control. www.transguide.org (accessed 9 December 2005).	ABC
Stubbs J, Giles-Corti B, Engelhard S, Milat A. Promoting participation in physical activity in a community intervention study. <i>Health Promot J Aust</i> 2002;13:205-210.	C
Success stories. <i>SportEX Health</i> 2002;14:20.	D
Sullivan T, Allegrante J, Peterson M, Kovar P, MacKenzie C. One-year followup of patients with osteoarthritis of the knee who participated in a program of supervised fitness walking and supportive patient education. <i>Arthritis Care Res</i> 1998;11:228-233.	B
Sustrans. Bristol's VIVALDI TravelSmart programme. Information sheet FF36A. www.sustrans.org.uk (accessed 6 December 2005).	D
Svačinová H, Homolka P, Olšovský J, Žáčková V, Siegelová J, Placheta Z, et al. Training program and cardiorespiratory parameters in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. <i>Scr Med (Brno)</i> 2002;75:157-162.	AC
Swartz A, Strath S, Bassett D, Moore J, Redwine B, Groer M, et al. Increasing daily walking improves glucose tolerance in overweight women. <i>Prev Med</i> 2003;37:356-362.	B
Swinburn B, McLennan J. The green prescription: a novel way of increasing uptake of physical activity. <i>NZ Public Health Rep</i> 1998;5:25-26.	ABC
Swinburn B, Walter L, Arroll B, Tilyard M, Russell D. The green prescription study: a randomized controlled trial of written exercise advice provided by general practitioners. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 1998;88:288-291.	B
Targeted health promotion activities encourage people to walk and cycle instead of using cars. <i>Evid Based Healthcare Public Health</i> 2005;9:139-140.	E
Taylor A. An evaluation of the efficacy of public signs for promoting brisk walking <i>J Sports Sci</i> 1994;12:182.	B
Taylor A. Evaluating the efficacy of exercise promotion signs on Eastbourne seafront: observations and perceptions of over 35 years olds. <i>Br J Phys Educ Res Suppl</i> 1994;14:17-22.	B
<i>TDM case studies and commuter testimonials</i> . Washington, DC: Transportation Demand Management Institute of the Association for Commuter Transportation, 1997.	D
Thomas H, Ciliska D, Micucci S, Wilson-Abra J, Dobbins M, Dwyer J. <i>Effectiveness of physical activity enhancement and obesity prevention programs in children and youth</i> . Hamilton, Ontario: Effective Public Health Practice Project, City of Hamilton Social & Public Health Services, 2004.	D
Thorogood M. Combining diet with physical activity in the treatment of obesity. <i>J Hum Nutr Diet</i> 1998;11:239-242.	ABC
Titze S, Martin B, Seiler R, Marti B. A worksite intervention module encouraging the use of stairs: results and evaluation. <i>Soz Präventivmed</i> 2001;46:13-19.	B
Titze S, Martin B, Seiler R, Stronegger W, Marti B. Effects of a lifestyle physical activity intervention on stages of change and energy expenditure in sedentary employees. <i>Psychol Sport Exerc</i> 2001;2:103-116.	C
Tooth L, McKenna K, Colquhoun D. Prediction of compliance with a post-myocardial infarction home-based walking programme. <i>Aust Occup Ther J</i> 1993;40:17-22.	B
<i>Travel behaviour change program for parts of the Perth Metropolitan Area under the TravelSmart program. Final report: TravelSmart households, town of Vincent</i> . Fremantle: Socialdata Australia, 2005.	B
<i>Travel behaviour change program for parts of the Perth Metropolitan Area under the TravelSmart program 2001 to 2005. Final report: TravelSmart households, city of Subiaco</i> . Fremantle: Socialdata Australia, 2004.	B
<i>Travel behaviour change program for parts of the Perth Metropolitan Area under the TravelSmart program 2001 to 2005. Final report: TravelSmart households, Marangaroo</i> . Fremantle: Socialdata Australia, 2003.	B
<i>Travel behaviour change program for parts of the Perth Metropolitan Area under the TravelSmart program 2001 to 2005. Final report: TravelSmart households program, city of Fremantle</i> . Fremantle: Socialdata Australia, 2004.	B
<i>Travel behaviour change program for parts of the Perth Metropolitan Area under the TravelSmart program 2001 to 2005. Final report: town of Cambridge</i> . Fremantle: Socialdata Australia, 2003.	B
<i>Travel behaviour change program for parts of the Perth Metropolitan Area under the TravelSmart program. Final report: TravelSmart households, city of Armadale</i> . Fremantle: Socialdata Australia, 2005.	B

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
<i>TravelSmart Bristol (Southville, Bedminster, Windmill Hill): a report on Bristol's third VIVALDI individualised travel marketing project.</i> Bristol: Sustrans, 2005.	B
Tudor-Locke C, Ainsworth B, Popkin B. Active commuting to school — an overlooked source of childrens' physical activity? <i>Sports Med</i> 2001;31:309-313.	ABC
Tudor-Locke C, Myers A, Bell R, Harris S, Rodger N. Preliminary outcome evaluation for the First Step Program: A daily physical activity intervention for individuals with type 2 diabetes. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 2002;47:23-28.	B
Tudor-Locke C, Myers A, Rodger N. Development of a theory-based daily activity intervention for individuals with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2001;27:85-93.	ABC
Tudor-Locke C. A preliminary study to determine instrument responsiveness to change with a walking program: physical activity logs versus pedometers. <i>Res Q Exerc Sport</i> 2001;72:288-92.	B
Tully M, Cupples M, Chan W, McGlade K, Young I. Brisk walking, fitness, and cardiovascular risk: a randomized controlled trial in primary care. <i>Prev Med</i> 2005;41:622-628.	AC
Van der Bij A, Laurant M, Wensing M. Effectiveness of physical activity interventions for older adults: a review. <i>Am J Prev Med</i> 2002;22:120-133.	D
Van Schagen I. <i>Traffic calming schemes: opportunities and implementation strategies.</i> Leidschendam, Netherlands: SWOV Institute for Road Safety Research, 2003.	ABC
Vázquez Altuna J, Galardi Andonegi E, Arbonés Ortiz J, Ibarondo Uriarte I. Evaluación de la efectividad de un programa de ejercicio físico en la disminución del peso graso. <i>Aten Primaria</i> 1994;14:711-716.	AC
Vernon D, Brewin M. Doorstep Walks: an evaluation of the impact of a low cost intervention to assist primary health care teams in promoting physical activity. <i>Health Educ J</i> 1998;57:224-231.	B
Vuori I, Oja P, Paronen O. Physically active commuting to work — testing its potential for exercise promotion. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc</i> 1994;26:844-850.	AC
Walker K, Piers L, Putt R, Jones J, O'Dea K. Effects of regular walking on cardiovascular risk factors and body composition in normoglycemic women and women with type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 1999;22:555-561.	AB
<i>Walking and cycling — success stories.</i> London: Department for Transport, 2004.	ABC
Walking the Way to Health Initiative. <i>National evaluation of health walk schemes.</i> Cheltenham: Countryside Agency, 2006.	B
<i>Walking: the way ahead — report from the national seminar series.</i> London: Department for Transport, 2003.	ABC
Walsh B. Australians put brakes on car use with major programme of personalised travel planning. <i>Local Transport Today</i> 2002;334:10-11.	B
Wang G, Macera C, Scudder-Soucie B, Schmid T, Pratt M, Buchner D. Cost effectiveness of a bicycle/pedestrian trail development in health promotion. <i>Prev Med</i> 2004;38:237-242.	BC
Warren B, Nieman D, Dotson R, Adkins C, O'Donnell K, Haddock B, et al. Cardiorespiratory responses to exercise training in septuagenarian women. <i>Int J Sports Med</i> 1993;14:60-65.	C
Wasner M, Rimmer J. Survey of exercise programs in senior living facilities in the state of Illinois. <i>J Aging Phys Act</i> 1997;5:262-272.	ABC
Webb O, Eves F. Promoting stair use: single versus multiple stair-riser messages. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 2005;95:1543-1544.	B
Wells N, Bainbridge K. Neighborhood design and physical activity: preliminary results of a longitudinal study of low-income, southern women moving to neotraditional or suburban neighbourhoods. www.activelivingresearch.org (accessed 5 December 2005).	F
Wen L, Orr N, Bindon J, Rissel C. Promoting active transport in a workplace setting: evaluation of a pilot study in Australia. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 2005;20:123-133.	B
Wen L, Thomas M, Jones H, Orr N, Moreton R, King L, et al. Promoting physical activity in women: evaluation of a 2-year community-based intervention in Sydney, Australia. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 2002;17:127-137.	B
Whelton S, Chin A, Xin X, He J. Effect of aerobic exercise on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. <i>Ann Intern Med</i> 2002;136:493-503.	ABC

Reference	Reason(s) for exclusion*
Wilbur J, Chandler P, Miller A. Measuring adherence to a women's walking program. <i>West J Nurs Res</i> 2001;23:8-24.	B
Wilbur J, Miller A, McDevitt J, Wang E, Miller J. Menopausal status, moderate-intensity walking, and symptoms in midlife women. <i>Res Theory Nurs Pract</i> 2005;19:163-180.	C
Wilbur J, Vassalo A, Chandler P, McDevitt J, Miller A. Midlife women's adherence to home-based walking during maintenance. <i>Nurs Res</i> 2005;54:33-40.	B
Williams B, Bezner J, Chesbro S, Leavitt R. The effect of a behavioral contract on adherence to a walking program in postmenopausal African American women. <i>Top Geriatr Rehabil</i> 2004;21:332-342.	B
Williams B, Bezner J, Chesbro S, Leavitt R. The effect of a behavioral contract on adherence to a walking program in postmenopausal black women. <i>J Geriatr Phys Ther</i> 2004;27:109-110.	B
Williams L, Olano V. Mobilizing and maintaining a coalition to promote physical activity among African Americans in Southeast Stockton, California. <i>J Health Educ</i> 1999;30:S31-36.	BC
Wimbush E, MacGregor A, Fraser E. Impacts of a national mass media campaign on walking in Scotland. <i>Health Promot Int</i> 1998;13:45-53.	B
Wimbush E. A moderate approach to promoting physical activity: the evidence and implications. <i>Health Educ J</i> 1994;53:322-336.	D
Wing R, Jeffery R, Pronk N, Hellerstedt W. Effects of a personal trainer and financial incentives on exercise adherence in overweight women in a behavioral weight loss program. <i>Obes Res</i> 1996;4:457-462.	BC
Woodfield H, Cracker K. One step at a time. <i>Occup Health</i> 1999;51:29-30.	BC
Woolf-May K, Kearney E, Jones D, Davison R, Coleman D, Bird S. The effect of two different 18-week walking programmes on aerobic fitness, selected blood lipids and factor XIIa. <i>J Sports Sci</i> 1998;16:701-710.	A
Woolf-May K, Kearney E, Owen A, Jones D, Davison R, Bird S. The efficacy of accumulated short bouts versus single daily bouts of brisk walking in improving aerobic fitness and blood lipid profiles. <i>Health Educ Res</i> 1999;14:803-815.	C
Wright C. Urban transport, health and synergy. <i>Transport Q</i> 1991;45:455-467.	D
Wryobeck J, Chen Y. Using priming techniques to facilitate health behaviours. <i>Clin Psychol</i> 2003;7:105-108.	B
Xiang P, Bruene A, McBride R. Using achievement goal theory to assess an elementary physical education running program. <i>J Sch Health</i> 2004;74:220-225.	BC
Yağci N, Gürsoy S, Cavlak U, Er S, Koçaker A. The effects of 6-week walking program on physical performance in institutionalized elderly people. <i>Fizyoterapi Rehabilitasyon</i> 2003;14:121-125.	AB
Yokochi M, Niinomi M, Kato Y, Yanbe Y, Tsushita K, Ohiso Y. Effectiveness of long-term intervention in physical activity of type 2 diabetics. <i>J Japan Diabetes Soc</i> 2002;45:867-874.	B
Young D, Haskell W, Taylor C, Fortmann S. Effect of community health education on physical activity knowledge, attitudes, and behavior: the Stanford Five-City Project. <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 1996;144:264-274.	C
Young D, King A, Sheehan M, Stefanick M. Stage of motivational readiness: predictive ability for exercise behavior. <i>Am J Health Behav</i> 2002;26:331-341.	C
<i>Your route to a better high street</i> . London: Transport 2000, 2004.	BC
Zimring C. Increasing physical activity through innovative stair design: evaluating skip-stop elevators combined with spacious stairs. www.activelivingresearch.org (accessed 5 December 2005)..	B
Zucker D. An exercise intervention to prevent hepatitis-related fatigue. <i>Hepatology</i> 2004;40(4 Suppl 4):511A.	C

* Reasons for exclusion:

- A: not an intervention study as defined by inclusion criteria
- B: not a controlled before-and-after study as defined by inclusion criteria
- C: no walking outcome data as defined by inclusion criteria
- D: review, bibliography or overview contributing no, or insufficient, primary data
- E: duplicate publication contributing no additional relevant primary data
- F: full text requested but not received in time to be included in analysis